

Approved: May 18, 2023

(TIP)

Amended: Dec. 7, 2023



112 MacTanly Place Staunton, VA 24401 **Phone** (540) 885-5174 **Fax** (540) 885-2687 HRVAMPO.ORG

Harrisonburg-Rockingham Metropolitan Planning Organization

Policy Board as of May 21, 2023

Officers

Chair, Rick Chandler – City of Harrisonburg (voting)
Vice Chair, Laura Dent – City of Harrisonburg (voting)
Secretary/Treasurer, Bonnie Riedesel - Central Shenandoah PDC (non-voting)

Voting Members

Ande Banks, City of Harrisonburg

Danny Fleming, City of Harrisonburg

Deanna Reed, City of Harrisonburg

Laura Dent, City of Harrisonburg

Gerald Gatobu, City of Harrisonburg

Rick Chandler, Rockingham County

Stephen King, Rockingham County

Casey Armstrong, Rockingham County

Jay Litten, Town of Bridgewater

Neal Dillard, Town of Mt. Crawford

Todd Stevens, Virginia Department of Transportation

Non-Voting Members

Chelsea Beytas, Federal Transit Administration

Kevin Jones, Federal Highway Administration

Bill Yates, James Madison University

Valerie Kramer, James Madison University



112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401

Rusty Harrington, Virginia Department of Aviation Grace Stankus, Department of Rail and Public Transportation



Technical Advisory Committee

Officers

Chair, Bill Blessing – City of Harrisonburg Vice Chair, Rhonda Cooper – Rockingham County Secretary/Treasurer, Bonnie Riedesel - Central Shenandoah PDC (non-voting)

Voting Members

Casey Armstrong, Rockingham County

Rachel Salatin, Rockingham County

Rhonda Cooper, Rockingham County

Bill Blessing, City of Harrisonburg

Erin Yancey, City of Harrisonburg

Cheryl Spain, City of Harrisonburg

Tom Hartman, City of Harrisonburg

Thanh Dang, City of Harrisonburg

Alex Wilmer, Town of Bridgewater

Neal Dillard, Town of Mt. Crawford

Christa Hall, Town of Dayton

Terry Short, Virginia Department of Transportation

Don Komara, Virginia Department of Transportation

Grace Stankus, Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation

Non-Voting Members

Rusty Harrington, Virginia Department of Aviation

Kevin Jones, Federal Highway Administration

Michele DeAngelis, Federal Transit Administration

Bill Yates, James Madison University

Valerie Kramer, James Madison University



112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401

Plan Documentation

Contact Information

112 MacTanly Place; Staunton, Virginia 24401
Phone (540) 885-5174 • Fax (540) 885-2687
Virginia Relay for Hearing & Voice Impaired: Within Virginia 7-1-1;
Outside Virginia Voice 800-828-1140 • Hearing 800-828-1120
Website: www.hrvampo.org

Title:

FY 2024–2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Staff:

Ann Cundy, Director of Transportation, HRMPO Ansley Heller, Transportation Planner, HRMPO

Dis claimer

The Harrisonburg-Rockingham Metropolitan Planning Organization (HRMPO) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) has been prepared in accordance with federal regulations 49 U.S.C. § 5303(j) and 23 CFR 450.326, and the Virginia Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations TIP Development Guidelines; and in partnership with the City of Harrisonburg and the County of Rockingham (member jurisdictions), the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). The contents of this report reflect the views of the HRMPO, which are responsible for the accuracy of the information and data presented herein.

Self-Certification

Submission of the HRMPO TIP fulfils the federal self-certification requirements set forth by <u>23 CFR 450.336</u>, confirming the HRMPO's metropolitan transportation planning process is conducted in accord with all applicable federal and state regulations.



Non-Discrimination Statement

The HRMPO ensures non-discrimination and equal employment in all programs and activities in accordance with Title VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. If you have questions or concerns about your civil rights regarding this document, or if you need special assistance for persons with disabilities or limited English proficiency, please contact the HRMPO. For more information, or to obtain a Title VI Complaint Form, see http://hrvampo.org/public-participation-title-vi or call (540) 885-5174.





112 MacTanly Place Staunton, VA 24401

Phone (540) 885-5174 Fax (540) 885-2687

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FISCAL YEARS 2024-2027 HARRISONBURG-ROCKINGHAM METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

WHEREAS, the Harrisonburg-Rockingham Metropolitan Planning Organization (HRMPO) is the designated regional transportation planning organization, and has the responsibility for developing and carrying out a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is required by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) as a basis and condition for all federal funding assistance to state, local, and regional agencies for transportation improvements; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), the City of Harrisonburg, County of Rockingham, and the Towns of Bridgewater, Dayton, and Mount Crawford, have reviewed the Fiscal Years 2024-2027 (FY 2024-FY 2027) TIP; and

WHEREAS, the projects identified in the FY 2024-FY2027 TIP are financially constrained and the TIP financial plan affirms that the funding identified in the TIP is available; and

WHEREAS, the FY 2024-FY 2027 HRMPO TIP is required for Virginia's State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) development process; and

WHEREAS, public participation has been sought and considered in accordance with the HRMPO's Public Participation Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the HRMPO Policy Board does hereby approve the FY 2024-2027 TIP on this 18th day of May 2023.

SIGNED:

ATTEST:

Rick Chandler, Chairman Harrisonburg-Rockingham

Metropolitan Planning Organization

Bonnie Riedesel, Administrator Harrisonburg-Rockingham Metropolitan Planning Organization



Table of Contents

| Harrisonburg-Rockingham Metropolitan Planning Organization | ••••• |
|---|-------|
| Plan Documentation | iv |
| Introduction |] |
| About the HRMPO | 2 |
| The HRMPO TIP | |
| The TIP Financial Plan | |
| TIP Financial Plan – Highway | 8 |
| TABLE C : Harrisonburg MPO | 20 |
| TIP Financial Plan – Transit | 22 |
| Appendix A: Highway TIP Amendments and Administrative Modifications | 32 |
| Appendix B: Transit TIP Amendments and Administrative Modifications | 33 |
| Appendix C: Resource Guide | 34 |
| Appendix D: Highway Performance Based Planning | 39 |
| Appendix E: Performance Based Planning and Programming for Transit Asset Management | 44 |
| Appendix F: Performance Based Planning and Programming for Pavements and Bridges | 46 |
| Appendix G Performance Based Planning and Programming – Highway System Performance | 5 |
| | _ |



Introduction

Purpose of this Document

Federal regulations [49 U.S.C. § 5303(j) and 23 CFR 450.326] require that all Metropolitan Planning Areas develop and maintain a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to coordinate regional transportation planning, maximize resources, provide transparency in the investment of federal transportation funds, and make progress towards achieving state and regional performance targets. Additionally, federal regulations require the TIP to be compatible with the HRMPO's Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), the State's TIP (VDOT's Six-Year Improvement Program), the State's Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP), and that it be updated a minimum of every 4-years. This TIP identifies the investment plans for Highway and Transit which are provided in the **TIP Financial Plan** on page 7.

Public Participation

Public participation is vital in the TIP development process to ensure that transportation projects being advanced address needs identified by the community. Federal regulations require the HRMPO to develop, implement, and maintain a <u>Public Participation Plan</u> (PPP) to provide a transparent and open planning process. The PPP identifies the various methods and ways the HRMPO works to ensure that the public is properly notified of its activities, and that opportunities to participate in the HRMPO's short- and long-range planning activities are available to all residents. The TIP is updated and amended according to the procedures provided in the PPP.

Central Shenandoah PDC uses the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) development process of the Harrisonburg-Rockingham Metropolitan Planning Organization (HRMPO) to satisfy the public hearing requirements of 49 U.S.C. Section 5307(c). The TIP public notice of public involvement activities and time established for public review and comment on the TIP satisfies the program-of-projects requirements of the Urbanized Area Formula Program.



About the HRMPO

Established in 2003, the HRMPO, is the federally designated agency responsible for leading transportation planning efforts for the City of Harrisonburg, the urbanized areas of Rockingham County, including the Towns of Bridgewater and Mt. Crawford. **Map 1** shows the HRMPO planning area boundary.

As the regional transportation planning agency, the HRMPO provides its members and partners an impartial venue to ensure that regional transportation planning and decision making are conducted transparently using the 3-C Planning Process. The 3-C Planning Process enables the HRMPO to collaborate with its members and partners to develop a comprehensive picture of the region in its effort to identify potential issues, prioritize needs, provide solutions, identify funding opportunities, and make decisions to support, maintain and improve the region's transportation network.


HRMPO Policy Board

The HRMPO's governing body is its Policy Board. The Policy Board leads the HRMPO transportation decision making process in the HRMPO region to ensure all possible solutions are examined and interests are addressed; decisions are made by following established MPO policies are in accord with federal and state regulations. The Policy Board is supported by HRMPO staff, with guidance and technical assistance provided by the HRMPO Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

The TAC is comprised of staff from the HRMPO's member jurisdictions, the Harrisonburg Department of Public Transportation (HDPT), and state and federal agencies. Through the TAC, member agencies and partners can collaborate and coordinate transportation planning efforts, and inform the Policy Board's decision-making process. With its members' knowledge of the region's transportation network and local transportation and transit needs, the TAC assists the HRMPO in establishing regional transportation priorities and identify regional and local needs.





Map 1 HRMPO Planning Area



The HRMPO TIP

The HRMPO TIP is the region's fiscally constrained four-year program of all transportation and transit projects programmed in the HRMPO region that:

- Are scheduled to receive federal transportation funds
- Require a federal action; or
- Are deemed regionally significant

Projects using only local or state funds are typically not included in the TIP unless they require a federal action or are considered regionally significant. The list of transportation and transit projects programmed in the HRMPO can be found in the TIP Highway & Transit Financial Plans of this document.

Consistency With Other State and Regional Planning Documents

Federal regulations require the TIP to be consistent with other federal, state, and regional transportation planning documents. These documents include the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and Six Year Improvement Program (SYIP), and the HRMPO LRTP.

Upon approval by the HRMPO Policy Board, the HRMPO TIP is submitted to VDOT and DRPT for approval and inclusion into the STIP, which is then forwarded to the FHA and FTA for federal approval.

TIP Development

The TIP is developed in accordance with <u>23 CFR 450.326</u>, the Virginia Association of MPOs (VAMPO) TIP Development Guidelines, and in partnership with member agencies, stakeholders, VDOT, DRPT, FHWA and FTA, from projects in the HRMPO's Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP), the VTrans 2040 Plan, and from member agency comprehensive plans. The TIP is updated at least every four years.

TIP Financial Plans

Highway Financial Plan

The TIP Highway Financial Plan lists all transportation projects programmed in the HRMPO region during the TIP 4-year life-cycle. The Financial Plan provides a project's details including the type of project to be implemented, its phase, scope, obligated funding source(s), project cost(s), and delivery schedule.

Transit Financial Plan

The Transit Financial Plan is developed by the HRMPO in partnership with the Harrisonburg Department of Public Transportation (HDPT), regional human mobility service providers (FTA Section 5310 program applicants), and DRPT. It identifies all transit projects programmed for implementation during the 4-year life cycle of the TIP. Project details shown in the Transit Financial Plan include a project's cost(s), funding allocation(s), funding source(s) and anticipated future funding.



Grouped Projects

Projects in the TIP that are determined not to be regionally significant, or are considered by VDOT or DRPT not to be of an appropriate scale to be individually programmed are listed in grouped categories. Listing these projects in grouped categories provides programming flexibility and reduces the administrative process. Grouped category lists may be identified by function, work type, or geographic area per 23 CFR 771.117(c) and (d) and 40 CFR part 93. A grouped project list shows the total sum of funding obligated for the grouped category rather than individual project descriptions and obligated funding. Grouped project listings are provided in both financial plans.

Amendments & Modifications

The TIP is periodically amended to add new projects or modify an existing project's scope, cost, and/or schedule when requested by VDOT, DRPT, or the project sponsor. TIP amendments and modifications for the Highway and Transit Financial Plans are in **Appendices A and B** of this document. Amendments and administrative modifications are defined below per 23 CFR Section 450.104:

Amendments are considered when a revision to a TIP involves a major change to an existing project programmed during the document's life cycle. Major changes may include the addition or deletion of a project, or a major change to a project's cost, scope, or schedule (e.g., adding new funds to a project, changing a project's termini or revising the start and/or delivery date). Amendments require a public notice that includes a review and comment period. Once the review and comment period are complete the amendment is presented to the HRMPO Policy Board, VDOT/DRPT, FHWA/FTA for approval. Any change to a TIP's financial plan(s) must continue to demonstrate fiscal constraint.

Transit-FTA Project/Phase Cost Increase Thresholds for Amendments

| Approved STIP Total Estimated Project Cost | Estimate Increase Requiring Amendment |
|---|--|
| Up to \$2,000,000 | >100% |
| >\$2,000,000 to \$10,000,000 | >50% |
| >\$10,000,000 | >25% |

Highway-FHWA Project/Phase Cost Increase Thresholds for Amendments

| Approved STIP Total Estimated Project Cost | Estimate Increase Requiring Amendment |
|--|--|
| Up to \$2,000,000 | >100% |
| >\$2,000,000 to \$10,000,000 | >50% |
| >\$10,000,000 to \$20,000,000 | >25% |
| >\$20,000,000 to \$35,000,000 | >15% |
| >\$35,000,000 | >10% |

Administrative Modifications are considered to be **minor revisions** to a TIP. This includes minor changes to an existing project's programmed phase, costs, funding source(s), and minor changes to a project's schedule/delivery date. An administrative modification only requires a staff-level review. Administrative modifications do not require a public notice of the change, public comment period, or re-demonstration of fiscal constrain.



Amendments or modifications the HRMPO TIP are made according to the amendment policy found in Section 4.3 of the HRMPO PPP.

Performance Management Requirements

The HRMPO is dedicated to improving the region's transportation network by working with its member agencies, VDOT, DRPT, FHWA, and FTA to implement regional performance measures that support and advance regional, state, and national transportation performance goals.

The FHWA defines <u>Transportation Performance Management</u> as a "strategic approach that uses system information to make investment and policy decisions to achieve national performance goals." With the passage of Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) in 2012 the and the subsequent Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act in 2016, the FHWA and FTA mandated that States and MPOs establish performance measures to integrate system-performance management into the transportation and transit planning process. In order to guide the integration of system performance measures into the planning process the FHWA and FTA identified the following seven national performance measures:

- Safety
- Infrastructure Condition
- Congestion Reduction
- System Reliability
- Freight Movement & Economic Vitality
- Environmental Sustainability
- Reduced Project Delivery Delay

Advancing the seven national performance goals ensures that transportation networks continue to develop and operate in a safe and efficient manner. Implementation of these measures in the HRMPO region ensures that transportation investments advance federal performance objectives, and that the HRMPO TIP is consistent with statewide planning documents.

The performance measures and implementation schedule provided in **Appendix D** satisfy the requirements of 23 CFR 450.218(q). HRMPO concurred with the state's safety targets on February 1, 2023. Performance measures and their revisions are to be incorporated into the TIP via the administrative modification process as they are advanced by VDOT and DRPT and approved by the HRMPO Policy Board.



The TIP Financial Plan

MAP-21 requires a financial plan be provided in the TIP (23 CFR 450.324(h)). The Financial Plan must demonstrate how the projects programmed can be implemented with existing obligations. TIP projects identified must be consistent with the HRMPO Long-Range Transportation Plan, and must be fully funded to the extent of available funding obligations or funding that is reasonably expected to be available.

The HRMPO and its partners have collaborated to develop financial forecasts for the Highway and Transit TIP based on the latest official planning assumptions, available or assumed revenue(s), and estimated project cost(s). The financial information provided is either project specific, or listed by a grouped funding category. All projects listed in the TIP are expected to be implemented during the four-year life cycle of the document. The TIP Financial Plan may contain projects that show \$0.00 for planned obligations. Possible reasons for this include:

- The project is complete and is awaiting final closeout
- Project phases extend beyond four years
- A Project type ID that has no allocated funding during the four-year period of the TIP



TIP Financial Plan – Highway

Interstate Projects

| UI | PC NO | 116280 | SCOPE | / Added Capacity | | | |
|----------|-------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| S | YSTEM | EM Interstate JURISDICTION Harrisonburg | | | onburg | OVERSIGHT | |
| PR | ROJECT | #I81CIP NB | & SB MM 242 TO 2 | ADMIN BY | VDOT | | |
| DESC | CRIPTION | FROM: MM 242.0 TO: MM 248.8 (6.8600 MI) | | | | | |
| ROUT | ROUTE/STREET 0081 | | | 81 | | TOTAL COST | \$320,016,035 |
| | FUND SOURCE | | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 |
| RW AC | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,270,000 | \$0 | \$0 |

| UF | PC NO | 117220 SCOPE Safety | | | | | |
|-------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| SY | YSTEM | Interstate | JURISDICTION | State | ewide | OVERSIGHT | NFO |
| PR | ROJECT | #ITTF21 I-81 | ADMIN BY | VDOT | | | |
| DESC | CRIPTION | FROM: Various TO: Various | | | | | |
| ROUT | ROUTE/STREET 0081 | | | 81 | | TOTAL COST | \$9,618,000 |
| | FUND SOURCE | | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 |
| PE | Federal - NHS/NHPP | | \$0 | \$118,206 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| PE AC | C Federal - AC OTHER | | \$0 | \$9,499,794 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| UF | PC NO | 115869 | SCOPE | SCOPE Safety | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|------------|-------------|--|
| SY | SYSTEM Interstate | | JURISDICTION | State | ewide | OVERSIGHT | NFO | |
| PR | ROJECT | #ITTF20 | STATEWIDE TECH | ADMIN BY | VDOT | | | |
| DESC | CRIPTION | FROM: Various TO: Various | | | | | | |
| ROUT | ROUTE/STREET 99 | | | 99 | | TOTAL COST | \$2,000,000 | |
| | FUND SOURCE | | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | |
| PE | PE Federal - NHS/NHPP | | \$0 | \$913,491 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| PE AC | AC Federal - AC OTHER | | \$0 | \$1,086,509 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |



Primary Projects

| UPC NO 108810 | | | SCOPE | Reconstruction w/ A | Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| SYSTEM Primary | | | JURISDICTION | Rockingham Count | y | OVERSIGHT | | | |
| PROJE | ECT | #HB2.FY17 Route | 11 S. Valley Pike Ro | adway Improvement | s | ADMIN BY | VDOT | | |
| DESCRIPTION FROM: INT. ROUTE 7 | | | E 704 TO: 0.005 MIL | ES NORTH INT. RO | OUTE 701 (1.2850 M | l) | • | | |
| \$1,038,439 GARVE | | 9 GARVEE Debt Ser E Debt Service Princ vice Principal FFY27 97 | cipal FFY25, \$1,102, | 891 GARVEE Debt S | Service Principal FFY | /26, \$1,153,288 | | | |
| ROUTE/STREET SOUTH VALLEY F | | | IKE (0011) | | | TOTAL COST | \$20,125,738 | | |
| | FUND SOL | JRCE | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | | |
| PE | Federal - STP/STBG | | \$0 | \$496,991 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| RW | RW Federal - AC CONVERSION | | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,038,439 | \$1,102,891 | \$1,153,288 | | |
| | Federal - STP/STBG | | \$0 | \$325,550 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| RW TO | OTAL | | \$0 | \$325,550 | \$1,038,439 | \$1,102,891 | \$1,153,288 | | |
| RW AC | Federal - AC | | \$0 | \$6,302,548 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| CN AC | Federal - AC | | \$0 | \$9,620,046 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Federal - A | C OTHER | \$0 | \$1,336,654 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| CN AC | CN AC | | \$0 | \$10,956,700 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| UPC N | 0 | 110397 | SCOPE | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| SYSTE | M | Primary | JURISDICTION | Rockingham County | у | OVERSIGHT | NFO |
| PROJE | CT | #HB2.FY17 Rt 11 S | Valley Pk Rdwy Im | orove GARVEE DEB | T SERVICE | ADMIN BY | VDOT |
| DESCF | RIPTION | | | | | | |
| GARVEE Debt Ser Service Interest FF | | | vice Interest FFY25, | vice Interest Prev, \$ \$644,817 GARVEE RVEE Debt Service 8810 | Debt Service Interes | t FFY26, \$594,122 G | SARVEE Debt |
| ROUTE | E/STREET | 0011 | | | | TOTAL COST | \$11,504,259 |
| | FUND SOL | IRCE | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 |
| PE | Federal - A | C CONVERSION | \$0 | \$0 | \$678,357 | \$644,817 | \$594,122 |
| | Federal - STP/STBG | | \$0 | \$566,052 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| PE TOTAL | | | \$0 | \$566,052 | \$678,357 | \$644,817 | \$594,122 |
| PE AC | Federal - A | С | \$0 | \$4,973,836 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

| UPC NO 121202 SCOPE Restoration and Rehabilitation |
|--|
|--|



| SYSTE | М | Primary JURISDICTION Bridgewater | | | | | NFO |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------|------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| PROJE | CT | #SGR23LP BRIDG | EWATER NORTH N | MAIN ST. PRIMARY | EXT. PAVE. | ADMIN BY | Locally |
| DESCR | RIPTION | FROM: North Main Street TO: North Main Street (0.8460 MI) | | | | | |
| ROUTE/STREET NORTH MAIN STR | | | EET (0257) | | | TOTAL COST | \$206,282 |
| | FUND SOURCE MATCH F | | | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 |
| PE AC | Federal - A | C OTHER | \$0 | \$2,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| CN AC | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$204,282 | \$0 | \$0 |

| UPC N | 0 | 120968 | SCOPE | Resurfacing | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------|------|-------------|
| SYSTE | SYSTEM Primary JURISDICTION Staunton District-wide | | | de | OVERSIGHT | NFO | | |
| PROJE | CT | #SGR23VP District | ADMIN BY | VDOT | | | | |
| DESCF | RIPTION | FROM: VARIOUS TO: VARIOUS (5.8500 MI) | | | | | | |
| ROUTE/STREET 9999 | | | | | | TOTAL COST | 9 | \$1,487,863 |
| | FUND SOL | IRCE | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | |
| CN AC | | | \$0 | \$1,487,863 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |

Miscellaneous Projects

| UPC N | 0 | 119654 | SCOPE | Traffic Management/Engineering | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|--------------------------------|------|------------|-----------|
| SYSTEM Miscellaneous JU | | | JURISDICTION | Statewide | | OVERSIGHT | NFO |
| PROJE | PROJECT #I81CIP PARALLEL | | FACILITIES IMPRO | ADMIN BY | VDOT | | |
| DESCR | RIPTION | FROM: State Line with Tennessee TO: State Line with West Virginia | | | | | |
| ROUTE | E/STREET | ARTERIALS ADJAN | NCET TO INTERSTA | ATE 81 (0081) | | TOTAL COST | \$479,805 |
| | FUND SOURCE | | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 |
| CN AC | Federal - A | COTHER | \$0 | \$479,805 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |



Harrisonburg MPO Project Groupings

| GROUP | PING | Construction : Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------|---|-----------|-------------|------|------|--------------|--|--|
| ROUTE | ROUTE/STREET | | | | | | \$66,047,163 | | |
| | FUND SOL | JRCE | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | | |
| PE | Federal - S | TP/STBG | \$130,000 | \$520,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| CN | Federal - STP/STBG | | \$122,289 | \$489,156 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| CN AC | Federal - AC OTHER | | \$0 | \$6,891,281 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | |

| GROU | PING | Construction : Safe | ty/ITS/Operational In | nprovements | | | |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| ROUTE | STREET | | | | | TOTAL COST | \$88,335,225 |
| | FUND SOL | IRCE | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 |
| PE | Federal - A | C CONVERSION | \$42,209 | \$181,643 | \$192,837 | \$2,400 | \$0 |
| | Federal - Ta | AP/F | \$13,222 | \$52,886 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| PE TO | TAL | | \$55,430 | \$234,529 | \$192,837 | \$2,400 | \$0 |
| RW | Federal - A | C CONVERSION | \$369,964 | \$202,682 | \$1,277,173 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Federal - S | TP/STBG | \$229,696 | \$1,620,844 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Federal - T | ederal - TAP/F \$17,099 | | | \$800 | \$0 | \$0 |
| RW TO | TAL | | \$616,759 | \$1,891,123 | \$1,277,973 | \$0 | \$0 |
| RW AC | Federal - A | C OTHER | \$0 | \$2,049,757 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| CN | Federal - A | C CONVERSION | \$280,000 | \$0 | \$1,120,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Federal - N | HS/NHPP | \$58,974 | \$235,896 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Federal - S | TP/STBG | \$1,290,144 | \$4,012,699 | \$1,147,878 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Federal - T | AP/F | \$225,048 | \$0 | \$228,092 | \$672,098 | \$0 |
| CN TO | CN TOTAL | | \$1,854,166 | \$4,248,595 | \$2,495,970 | \$672,098 | \$0 |
| CN AC | Federal - A | C OTHER | \$0 | \$6,762,165 | \$7,059,194 | \$109,964 | \$0 |

| GROUP | PING | Construction : Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|--|----------|-----------|------------|------|-------------|-----|
| ROUTE/STREET | | | | | TOTAL COST | | \$2,264,339 | |
| | FUND SOU | RCE | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | |
| CN | Federal - TAP/F | | \$69,384 | \$277,535 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| CN AC | Federal - AC OTHER | | \$0 | \$87,979 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |

| GROUI | PING | Maintenance : Prev | Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation | | | | | | |
|-------|----------------------|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| PROGI | RAM NOTE | M NOTE Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified. | | | | | | | |
| ROUTE | E/STREET | | | | | TOTAL COST | \$90,996,464 | | |
| | FUND SOURCE | | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | | |
| CN | N Federal - STP/STBG | | \$0 | \$22,608,309 | \$22,701,124 | \$22,795,517 | \$22,891,514 | | |



| GROUP | PING | Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance for Bridges | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|
| PROGRAM NOTE Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified. | | | | | | | | | |
| ROUTE | E/STREET | | | | | TOTAL COST | \$29,779,836 | | |
| | FUND SOURCE | | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | | |
| CN | Federal - N | HS/NHPP | \$0 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | | |
| | Federal - S | TP/STBG | \$0 | \$6,648,943 | \$6,675,613 | \$6,702,736 | \$6,730,320 | | |
| CN TO | TAL | | \$0 | \$7,404,499 | \$7,431,169 | \$7,458,292 | \$7,485,876 | | |

| GROUP | PING | Maintenance : Traff | Maintenance : Traffic and Safety Operations | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|---|------|-------------|------|-------------|-------|-------------|------|--------------|
| PROGRAM NOTE Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ROUTE | STREET | | | | | | | TOTAL | COST | | \$12,358,669 |
| | FUND SOURCE | | MATCH | FY24 | | FY25 | | FY26 | | FY27 | |
| CN | CN Federal - STP/STBG | | \$0 | | \$3,070,544 | | \$3,083,149 | | \$3,095,969 | | \$3,109,007 |



Appendix A

Projects by Grouping

Harrisonburg MPO

Construction: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction

| | System | UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description Street(Ro | oute) Estimate | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Miscellaneous | T19030 Staunton I | istrict-wide 0000 | \$0 | | | | | |
| | BRIDGE F | EHABILITAION/REPLACEMENT | | | | | | |
| Primary | 115718 Harrisonbu | rg MARKET STREET (0033) | \$8,152,727 | | | | | |
| | #SMART2 | US 33 (MARKET STREET) AND I-81 IMPROVEMENTS | | | | | | |
| | FROM: 0.3 | FROM: 0.346 Mi. West of Interstate 81 TO: 0.277 Mi. East of Interstate 81 (0.6220 MI) | | | | | | |
| Primary | 100781 Rockingha | m County 0033 | \$17,232,405 | | | | | |
| | #SGR17V | 3 - RT. 33 Repl Bridges over NSRR (Fed ID 20446 & 20447) | | | | | | |
| | FROM: 0.5 | FROM: 0.500 Mi. West of Interstate 81 TO: 0.192 Mi. West of Interstate 81 (0.3080 MI) | | | | | | |
| Primary | 104177 Rockingha | m County EAST MARKET STREET (0033 | 3) \$13,712,583 | | | | | |
| | #SGR18V | 3 - Rte 33 over I-81 Exit 247 Impr EBL; Str. No. 20443 | | | | | | |
| | FROM: 0. | 92 Mi. West of Interstate 81 TO: 0.381 Mi. East of Interstate 8 | 31 (0.5730 MI) | | | | | |
| Primary | 113487 Rockingha | m County EAST MARKET STREET (0033 | 3) \$12,032,214 | | | | | |
| | #SGR19V | 3 - RTE 33 OVER I-81 EXIT 247 IMPR WBL (STR. NO. 20441 | 1) | | | | | |
| | FROM: 0. | 92 Mi. West of Interstate 81 TO: 0.381 Mi. East of Interstate 8 | 31 (0.5730 MI) | | | | | |
| Secondary | 13285 Rockingha | m County 0682 | \$6,433,484 | | | | | |
| | #SGR17V | 3 - RT 682 Bridge and Approaches over Pleasant Run | | | | | | |
| | FROM: 0.2 | 41 Miles West of Route 867 TO: 0.156 Miles East of Route 86 | 67 (0.3970 MI) | | | | | |
| Secondary | 97112 Rockingha | m County SMITHLAND ROAD (0720) | \$8.483,750 | | | | | |
| | · · | B - Br Replacement (Fed ID 20408 Smithland Rd / I-81) | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | |
| | | 55 Miles South of I-81 TO: 0.052 Miles North of I-81 (0.0500 N | MI) | | | | | |
| Construction · Bric | | acement/Reconstruction Total | \$66,047,163 | | | | | |



Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements

| | Syster | m UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description Street(Route) | Estimate |
|-------------|----------|---|-------------|
| Enhancement | 121208 E | Bridgewater | \$480,211 |
| | F | RIVERWALK PHASE III ENHANCEMENT | |
| | ı | FROM: Riverwalk TO: Trail System (0.2500 MI) | |
| Enhancement | 121206 I | Harrisonburg | \$385,875 |
| | 1 | NORTHEND GREENWAY BROOKSIDE PHASE TAP | |
| | ı | FROM: Shared Use TO: Pathway (0.1900 MI) | |
| Enhancement | 121207 I | Harrisonburg | \$609,916 |
| | (| COUNTRY CLUB RD. SIDEWALK - SRTS ENHANCEMENT | |
| | I | FROM: Safe Routes TO: To Schools (0.1800 MI) | |
| Interstate | 108809 I | Harrisonburg I-81 NB OFF RAMP EXIT 245 (0081) | \$3,468,889 |
| | # | #HB2.FY17 I-81 Exit 245 NB Off Ramp Realignment | |
| | ı | FROM: I-81 NB Exit 245 Off Ramp Gore TO: Intersection of Rte. 253 (0.2120 MI) | |
| Interstate | 111230 I | Harrisonburg 0081 | \$7,489,060 |
| | 7 | #SMART18 - (St) I-81 EXIT 247 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS | |
| | ı | FROM: 0.147 Mi. South of Interstate 81 TO: 0.008 Mi. South of Interstate 81 (0.1390 MI) | |
| Interstate | 116039 | Statewide 0081 | \$9,407,380 |
| | ; | #I81CIP DMS INSTALLATION | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 117790 | Statewide 0081 | \$382,000 |
| | ; | #ITTF21 STUDY OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES -I-81 | |
| | | FROM: various TO: various | |
| Interstate | 118791 | Rockingham County 9999 | \$1,140,251 |
| | ; | #SGR21VP Harrisonburg Residency Plant Mix (PM-8V-21) | |
| | | FROM: MM 240.5 TO: MM 239.6 (0.9100 MI) | |
| Interstate | 110551 | Statewide 9999 | \$307,192 |
| | | TRAFFIC VIDEO EXPANSION (PSAP) - STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 110912 | Statewide 9999 | \$813,019 |
| | | Statewide Truck Parking Management System - Phase 1 | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 111613 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,807,000 |
| | | STATEWIDE TRUCK PARKING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM - PHASE 2 | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 115854 | Statewide 9999 | \$0 |
| | ; | #ITTF20 ARTERIAL OPERATIONS PROGRAM DASHBOARD | |
| | | FROM: n/a TO: n/a | |



| Interstate | 115856 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,950,000 |
|------------|--------|---|-------------|
| | | #ITTF20 PARKING DEMAND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 119197 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,500,000 |
| | | #ITTF22 OSPREY FIBER CONNECTIONS - STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 119198 | Statewide 9999 | \$25,040 |
| | | #ITTF22 HIGH SPEED COMMUNICATIONS FOR SIGNALS (PHASE II) | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Varioyus | |
| Interstate | 119199 | Statewide 9999 | \$500,000 |
| | | #ITTF22 STUDY FOR SMARTER LIGHTING INITIATIVE STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 119332 | Statewide 9999 | \$300,000 |
| | | #ITTF22 DATA-DRIVEN MGMT PROGRAM FOR PAVEMENT MARKING | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 119379 | Statewide 9999 | \$0 |
| | | #ITTF22 CONNECTED WORK ZONES PROGRAM STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 119401 | Statewide 9999 | \$250,000 |
| | | #ITTF22 PROJECT EVALUATIONS STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 119402 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,030,000 |
| | | #ITTF22 INCIDENT RESPONSE OPTIMIZATION -STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 119404 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,000,000 |
| | | #ITTF22 GUIDE LIGHTS FOR SPEED MANAGEMENT STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: various TO: various | |
| Interstate | 119406 | Statewide 9999 | \$0 |
| | | #ITTF22 AUTOMATED SPEED ENFORCEMENT PILOT STATEWIDE | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 121564 | Statewide 9999 | \$350,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 LEVERAGING CONNECTED CAR DATA FOR IMPROVED SAFETY | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 121654 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,000,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 OPERATIONALIZE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS SUPPORT CENTER | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | | Statewide 9999 | \$500,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 IMPLEMENT AI-BASED INTEGRATED SECURITY PREDICTION | , |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |



| Interstate | 121666 | Statewide 9999 | \$500,000 |
|---------------|--------|--|-------------|
| | | #ITTF23 ITTF PROJECT EVALUATIONS | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 121667 | Statewide 9999 | \$3,575,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 RM3P DEP Data Services | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 121668 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,000,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 REAL-TIME INFORMATION DISSEMINATION FOR CMVs | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 121670 | Statewide 9999 | \$500,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 ADVANCED ROAD WEATHER INFORMATION SYSTEMS STUDY | |
| | | FROM: VARIOUS TO: VARIOUS | |
| Interstate | 121712 | Statewide 9999 | \$650,000 |
| | | NETWORK OPERATIONS CENTER IMPLEMENTATION | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 121776 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,000,000 |
| | | HARD SHOULDER RUNNING FEASIBILITY STUDY-Technology component | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 121822 | Statewide 9999 | \$5,000,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 STATEWIDE FIBER NETWORK ENHANCEMENTS | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Interstate | 122048 | Statewide VARIOUS (9999) | \$500,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 - RM3P EVALUATION | |
| | | FROM: various TO: various | |
| Miscellaneous | T19027 | Staunton District-wide 0000 | \$0 |
| | | CN: SAFETY/ITS/OPERATIONAL/IMPROVEMENTS | |
| Miscellaneous | 116505 | Staunton District-wide 0000 | \$416,090 |
| | | SAFETY PRESCOPING - STAUNTON | |
| | | FROM: STAUNTON DISTRICT WIDE TO: VARIOUS | |
| Miscellaneous | 121643 | Statewide 9999 | \$1,000,000 |
| | | #ITTF23 SMART INTERSECTIONS DEPLOYMENT SUPPORT | |
| | | FROM: Various TO: Various | |
| Primary | 119645 | Harrisonburg SOUTH MAIN STREET (0011) | \$2,661,287 |
| | | #SMART22 - S. MAIN SAFET IMPROVEMENTS | |
| | | FROM: S. Mosby Road TO: Erickson Ave. (0.2700 MI) | |
| Primary | 119647 | Harrisonburg LEE JACKSON HIGHWAY (0011) | \$5,731,024 |
| | | #SMART22 - S. MAIN & I-81 EXIT 243 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS | |
| | | FROM: Intersection of I-81 Exit 243 Ramps TO: And Route 11 (0.2500 MI) | |
| Primary | | Harrisonburg MAIN STREET (0011) | \$2,512,305 |
| - | | #SMART22 - N. MAIN STREET SIDEWALK | . , |
| | | FROM: Holly Hill Dr TO: Vine Street (0.3600 MI) | |



| Primary | 111053 | Rockingham County SOUTH VALLEY PIKE (0011) | \$3,475,108 | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| - | | #SMART18 - (St) RTE 11 & 704 INTERSECTION REALIGNMENT | | | | |
| | | FROM: Int. Route 11 NBL TO: 0.247 Mi. E. Int. Route 11 NBL (0.2470 MI) | | | | |
| Primary | 115132 | Rockingham County NORTH VALLEY PIKE (0011) | \$3,037,558 | | | |
| | | #SMART20 US 11 N(North Valley Pike) Sidewalk | | | | |
| | | FROM: Int. Mt. Clinton Pike TO: Int. Jewell Street (1.0500 MI) | | | | |
| Primary | 115719 | Rockingham County SPOTSWOOD TRAIL (0033) | \$977,984 | | | |
| | | #SMART20 US 33 & ROUTE 620 TURN LANES | | | | |
| | | FROM: 0.08 Mi. W. of Indian Trail Rd. TO: 0.07 Mi. N. of Route 33 (0.1500 MI) | | | | |
| Primary | 119652 | Harrisonburg PORT REPUBLIC ROAD (0253) | \$4,101,619 | | | |
| | | #SMART22 - PORT REPUBLIC ROAD CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS | | | | |
| | | FROM: Devon Lane TO: Hunter Road (0.2100 MI) | | | | |
| Primary | 119662 | Harrisonburg PORT REPUBLIC ROAD (0253) | \$2,924,946 | | | |
| | | #SMART22 - PORT REPUBLIC ROAD TURN LANE AND SIDEWALK | | | | |
| | | FROM: Bluestone Drive TO: Crawford Ave. (0.1000 MI) | | | | |
| Public Transportation | 119648 | Rockingham County FRIEDENS CHURCH ROAD (0257) | \$3,045,897 | | | |
| | | #SMART22 - MOUNT CRAWFORD PARK AND RIDE LOT IMPROVEMENTS | | | | |
| | | FROM: Park And Ride TO: Lot Improvements | | | | |
| Secondary | 111052 | Rockingham County FRIEDENS CHURCH ROAD (0682) | \$1,839,639 | | | |
| | | #SMART18 - (St) RTE 682 FRIEDENS CHURCH RD INTERSECTION | | | | |
| | | FROM: 0.09 Mi. W. Int. Rte. 988 TO: 0.08 Mi. E. Int. Rte. 988 (0.1700 MI) | | | | |
| Secondary | 119653 | Rockingham County SMITHLAND ROAD (0720) | \$3,556,131 | | | |
| | | #SMART22 - SMITHLAND ROAD SHOULDER WIDENING | | | | |
| | | FROM: Harrisonburg City Limits TO: Route 11 (0.6410 MI) | | | | |
| Urban | 80488 | Bridgewater 0042 | \$2,119,526 | | | |
| | | RTE 42 - IMPROVE RTE. 42 (MAIN STREET) IN BRIDGEWATER | | | | |
| | | FROM: 0.331 Miles S of Int. of Old River Rd TO: 0.094 Miles N of Int. of Old River Rd (0.4250 MI) | | | | |
| Urban | 115139 | Harrisonburg EVELYN BYRD AVE | \$3,515,278 | | | |
| | | #SMART20 University Blvd/Evelyn Byrd Ave Rd Diet & Sidewalk | | | | |
| | | FROM: Int of E Market St TO: Int of Reservoir St (2.2000 MI) | | | | |
| Construction : Safe | ety/ITS/Ope | erational Improvements Total | \$88,335,225 | | | |



Harrisonburg MPO

Construction: Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional

| | Syst | em | UPC Jurisdiction / I | Name / Description | Street(Route) | Estimate |
|---------------------|-------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Enhancement | 113896 | Bridgewater | | 0700 | | \$298,666 |
| | | Gen-Oak Conne | ctor - Bridgewater | | | |
| | | FROM: North Li | perty Street TO: Nac | omi Lane (0.3000 MI) | | |
| Enhancement | 107517 | Rockingham County JOHN WAYLAND HWY (EN15) | | | | |
| | | Rte. 42 Bicycle | Pedestrian / Buggy | Lanes | | |
| | | FROM: Eberly F | | | | |
| Enhancement | 113685 | Harrisonburg | | PARK ROAD (EN1 | 8) | \$110,033 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | FROM: EMU So | ience Building on Pa | ark Road TO: Park Road | d at W. Dogwood Drive (0.2500 MI) | |
| Enhancement | 116859 | Bridgewater | | EN20 | | \$326,525 |
| | | RIVERWALK PI | HASE 2 | | | |
| | | FROM: Sidewal | calong TO: West Ba | ank Street (0.1800 MI) | | |
| Enhancement | 116860 | Harrisonburg | | FEDERAL STREET | T (EN20) | \$539,974 |
| | | FEDERAL STR | EET PATH, BLOCK | 2 | | |
| | | FROM: Convert | on of a TO: 2-way | Street (0.2500 MI) | | |
| Miscellaneous | T19019 | Staunton Distric | -wide | 0000 | | \$0 |
| | | CN: TRANSPOR | RTATION ENHANCE | EMENT/BYWAY/OTHER | R NON-TRADITIONAL | |
| Urban | 113894 | Bridgewater | | MAIN STREET (00 | 42) | \$240,639 |
| | | Main Street Pedestrian Safety Improvement - Bridgewater | | | | |
| | | FROM: East Riv | erside Drive TO: Tu | rner Ashby Drive (1.600 | 0 MI) | |
| Construction : Tran | nsportation | Alternatives/Byw | ay/Non-Traditional T | Total | | \$2,264,339 |

Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation

| 400070 | | | Street(Route) | Estimate |
|--------|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| 120970 | Staunton District-wide | 9999 | | |
| | #SGR23VP District Wide PI | ant Mix Schedule (PM-8M-23) | | |
| | FROM: VARIOUS TO: VAR | IOUS (3.0700 MI) | | |
| 120971 | Staunton District-wide | 9999 | | |
| | #SGR23VP District Wide PI | ant Mix Schedule (PM-8N-23) | | |
| | FROM: VARIOUS TO: VAR | IOUS (5.2300 MI) | | |
| T14725 | Staunton District-wide | 0000 | | \$90,996,464 |
| | STIP-MN Staunton: Preven | tive MN and System Preservation | 1 | |
| | | | | \$90,996,464 |
| _ | 120971 T14725 | FROM: VARIOUS TO: VAR 120971 Staunton District-wide #SGR23VP District Wide PI FROM: VARIOUS TO: VAR T14725 Staunton District-wide STIP-MN Staunton: Preven | #SGR23VP District Wide Plant Mix Schedule (PM-8N-23) FROM: VARIOUS TO: VARIOUS (5.2300 MI) T14725 Staunton District-wide 0000 | FROM: VARIOUS TO: VARIOUS (3.0700 MI) 120971 Staunton District-wide 9999 #SGR23VP District Wide Plant Mix Schedule (PM-8N-23) FROM: VARIOUS TO: VARIOUS (5.2300 MI) T14725 Staunton District-wide 0000 STIP-MN Staunton: Preventive MN and System Preservation |



Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance for Bridges

| | System | UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description | Street(Route) | Estimate |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|
| Miscellaneous | T14724 Staunton | District-wide 0000 | | \$29,779,836 |
| | STIP-MN | Staunton: Preventive MN for Bridges | | |
| Maintenance : Pre | eventive Maintenance | for Bridges Total | | \$29,779,836 |
| Maintenance | : Traffic and Safe | ety Operations | | |
| | | | | |
| | System | UPC Jurisdiction / Name / Description | Street(Route) | Estimate |
| Miscellaneous | System T14723 Staunton | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Street(Route) | Estimate \$12,358,669 |
| Miscellaneous | T14723 Staunton | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Street(Route) | |
| | T14723 Staunton | District-wide 0000 Staunton: Traffic and Safety Operations | Street(Route) | |



TABLE C: Harris onburg MPO

FEDERAL FUNDING CATEGORIES FISCAL CONSTRAINT BY YEAR

Highway Projects FFY 2024 - 2027

| | FFY | 2024 | FFY: | 2025 | FFY 2026 | | FFY 2027 | | TOTAL | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Fund Source | Projected Obligation Authority | Planned Obligation |
| Federal | | | | | | | | | | |
| NHS/NHPP | \$235,896 | \$235,896 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$235,896 | \$235,896 |
| STP/STBG | \$8,031,292 | \$8,031,292 | \$1,147,878 | \$1,147,878 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,179,170 | \$9,179,170 |
| TAP | \$398,018 | \$398,018 | \$228,892 | \$228,892 | \$672,098 | \$672,098 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,299,008 | \$1,299,008 |
| Subtotal Federal | \$8,665,206 | \$8,665,206 | \$1,376,770 | \$1,376,770 | \$672,098 | \$672,098 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,714,074 | \$10,714,074 |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | |
| State Match | \$1,643,637 | \$1,643,637 | \$344,192 | \$344,192 | \$168,025 | \$168,025 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,155,854 | \$2,155,854 |
| Subtotal Other | \$1,643,637 | \$1,643,637 | \$344,192 | \$344,192 | \$168,025 | \$168,025 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,155,854 | \$2,155,854 |
| Total | \$10,308,843 | \$10,308,843 | \$1,720,962 | \$1,720,962 | \$840,123 | \$840,123 | \$0 | \$0 | \$12,869,928 | \$12,869,928 |
| Federal - ACC (1) | | | | | | | | | | |
| HSIP | \$181,643 | \$181,643 | \$192,837 | \$192,837 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$374,480 | \$374,480 |
| NHS/NHPP | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,400 | \$2,400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,400 | \$2,400 |
| STP/STBG | \$202,682 | \$202,682 | \$4,113,969 | \$4,113,969 | \$1,747,708 | \$1,747,708 | \$1,747,410 | \$1,747,410 | \$7,811,769 | \$7,811,769 |
| Subtotal Federal - ACC (1) | \$384,325 | \$384,325 | \$4,306,806 | \$4,306,806 | \$1,750,108 | \$1,750,108 | \$1,747,410 | \$1,747,410 | \$8,188,649 | \$8,188,649 |
| Statewide and/or Multiple MPO - | Federal (3) | | | | | | | | | |
| NHS/NHPP | \$1,031,697 | \$1,031,697 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,031,697 | \$1,031,697 |
| Subtotal Statewide and/or Multiple MPO - Federal (3) | \$1,031,697 | \$1,031,697 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,031,697 | \$1,031,697 |
| Maintenance - Federal (4) | | | | | | | | | | |
| NHS/NHPP | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$755,556 | \$3,022,224 | \$3,022,224 |
| STP/STBG | \$32,327,796 | \$32,327,796 | \$32,459,886 | \$32,459,886 | \$32,594,222 | \$32,594,222 | \$32,730,841 | \$32,730,841 | \$130,112,745 | \$130,112,745 |
| Subtotal Maintenance - Federal (4) | \$33,083,352 | \$33,083,352 | \$33,215,442 | \$33,215,442 | \$33,349,778 | \$33,349,778 | \$33,486,397 | \$33,486,397 | \$133,134,969 | \$133,134,969 |

- (1) ACC -- Advance Construction -- Funding included in Federal Category based on year of AC Conversion
- (2) CMAQ/RSTP includes funds for TRANSIT projects
- (3) Statewide and/or Multiple MPO Federal Funding to be obligated in Multiple MPO Regions and/or Statewide for projects as identified.
- (4) Maintenance Projects Funding to be obligated for maintenance projects as identified

End of Highway TIP Financial Plan



TIP Financial Plan - Trans it

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF RAIL AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TRANSIT COSTS (in \$1,000)

| Previ | ous Funding | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Total FY 2 | 024-2027 |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------|----------|
| HARRISONBI | JRG-ROCKIN | GHAM METROP | OLITAN PLANN | ING ORGAN | IZATION | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0001 | Title: Operating | Assistance | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| FTA 5307 | 2,802 | 2,452 | 2,797 | 2,881 | 2,968 | FTA 5307 | 11,098 |
| State | 1,477 | 1,567 | 1,614 | 1,662 | 1,764 | State | 6,607 |
| Local | 253 | 1,055 | 1,293 | 1,332 | 1,372 | Local | 5,052 |
| Revenues | 2,225 | 2,243 | 2,360 | 2,431 | 2,504 | Revenues | 9,538 |
| Year Total: | 6,757 | 7,317 | 8,064 | 8,306 | 8,608 | Total Funds: | 32,295 |
| Description: | | T:11 D 1 | (D II: | | | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0004 | Title: Replacem Stock | ent Rolling | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| FTA 5307 | 1,120 | 192 | 2,100 | 1,772 | 192 | FTA 5307 Flexible | 4,256 |
| Flexible STP | | | | | | STP | - |
| State | 2,720 | 38 | 420 | 354 | 38 | State | 850 |
| Local | 160 | 10 | 105 | 89 | 10 | Local | 214 |
| Year Total: | 4,000 | 240 | 2,625 | 2,215 | 240 | Total Funds: | 5,320 |
| Description: | Replace five also included Replace four | (5) Large Heavy d in base vehicle of (4) Large Heavy | Duty Transit 35-4 costs for Heavy Duty Transit 35-4 | 40 Bus 12yrs/ Outy buses. 40 Bus 12yrs | rvice Cost \$240,000 /500,000 miles Cost \$2,625, /500,000 miles Cost \$2,215, ervice Cost \$240,000 | | ks are |
| TIP ID: | HAR0005 | Title: Expansion | Rolling Stock | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| FTA 5307 | 176 | | | | _ | FTA 5307 | |
| Flexible STP | | | | | - | Flexible STP | - |
| State | 35 | | | | - | State | |
| Local | 9 | | | | - | Local | |
| Year Total: | 220 | | | - | - | Total Funds: | - |
| Description: | | | | | | | |



| TIP ID: FTA 5307 Flexible STP State | HAR0008 | Shelters) | r Shelters (Bus | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
|--|--------------|---|---|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Flexible STP | | | | | | | |
| | - | | - | - | - | FTA 5307 | - |
| | _ | | | | | Flexible STP | |
| State | | | - | | - | SIF | - |
| State | - | - | - | | _ | State | _ |
| | | | | | | | |
| Local | - | - | - | | <u>-</u> | Local Total | - |
| Year Total: | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | Funds: | _ |
| Description: | | | 1 | l . | | | l. |
| | | | | | Harrisonburg Bus | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0010 | Title: Spare Par | ts, ACM Items | Recipient: | Service | T | T |
| ETA 5207 | | | | | | ETA 5207 | |
| FTA 5307 Flexible | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5307 Flexible | - |
| STP | _ | _ | - | - | _ | STP | _ |
| | | | | | | | |
| State | - | - | - | - | - | State | - |
| Local | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | Local | _ |
| Local | | | | | | Total | |
| Year Total: | - | - | - | - | - | Funds: | - |
| Description: | | | | | | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0011 | Title: Purchase Equipment | Shop | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| TII ID. | IIAKOOTT | Equipment | | rtecipient. | Jei vice | | |
| FTA 5307 | - | 44,206 | - | - | - | FTA 5307 | 44,206 |
| Flexible | | | | | | Flexible | |
| SIP | - | <u>-</u> | - | - | - | SIP | - |
| State | _ | 8.841 | _ | _ | _ | State | 8.841 |
| | | -, | | | | | |
| Local | - | 2,211 | - | - | - | Local | 2,211 |
| Voor Total: | | EE 2E9 | | | | | EE 259 |
| Deservisions | | | ransit Cost \$55,2 | | _ | i unus. | 33,230 |
| Description: | | - (=) | , | | | | |
| Description: | | | | | | | |
| Description: | | | | | | | |
| Description: | | | | | Hamia ankong Bos | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0012 | Title: Purchase | ADP Hardware | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| | HAR0012 | Title: Purchase | ADP Hardware | Recipient: | | FTA 5307 | - |
| TIP ID: FTA 5307 | HAR0012 | Title: Purchase | | Recipient: | Service | Flexible | - |
| TIP ID: | HAR0012 - | Title: Purchase | | Recipient: | Service | | - |
| State Local Year Total: | _ | 8,841 2,211 55,258 to (2) Shop Lifts T | - | - | - | State | - 8,841 2,211 55,258 |



| Local | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | Local | _ |
|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | _ | | _ | | | Total | |
| Year Total: Description: | - | - | - | - | - | Funds: | - |
| TIP ID: | HAR0015 | Title: ITS or Mis | c. Equip | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| FTA 5307 | 420 | 160 | | ' | 1,391 | FTA 5307 | 1,551 |
| | 420 | 100 | | | 1,391 | Flexible | 1,551 |
| Flexible STP | - | <u>-</u> | | | | STP | - |
| State | 1,020 | 32 | | | 278 | State | 310 |
| Local | 60 | 8 | | | 69 | Local | 77 |
| Year Total: | 1,500 | 200 | _ | _ | 1,738 | Total Funds: | 1,938 |
| Description: | ITS- On boa | ard systems (Para | | | 00,000 | | |
| | ITS or Misc. | Equipment Techn | ology/Equipmen | t- ITS On Bo | ard Systems Fixed-Route- Cos | st \$1,738,911 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Harrisonburg Bus | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0016 | Title: Route Sigr | nage | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0016 | Title: Route Sigr | nage - | Recipient: | | FTA 5307 | - |
| | | | nage - | Recipient: | Service | FTA 5307 Flexible STP | |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP | - | - | nage - - | Recipient: | Service | Flexible STP | - |
| FTA 5307 | - | - | nage - - - | Recipient: | Service | Flexible | |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP | - | - | nage - - - - | Recipient: | Service | Flexible STP State | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: | - | - | nage | - | Service | Flexible STP State | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local | - | - | | - | Service | Flexible STP State Local | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: | - | - | - | - | Service | Flexible STP State Local | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: Description: | - | - | - | - | Service | Flexible STP State Local | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: Description: TIP ID: FTA 5307 | - - - - - HAR0017 | Title: ADA Vehic | - | - | Service | Flexible STP State Local Total Funds: FTA 5307 Flexible | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: Description: TIP ID: FTA 5307 Flexible STP | - | - | - | - | Service | Flexible STP State Local Total Funds: FTA 5307 Flexible STP | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: Description: TIP ID: FTA 5307 | - - - - - HAR0017 | Title: ADA Vehic | - | - | Service | Flexible STP State Local Total Funds: FTA 5307 Flexible | - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: Description: TIP ID: FTA 5307 Flexible STP | - - - - - - - - - | Title: ADA Vehic | cle Equipment | Recipient: | Service Harrisonburg Bus Service | Flexible STP State Local Total Funds: FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local | - - - |
| FTA 5307 Flexible STP State Local Year Total: Description: TIP ID: FTA 5307 Flexible STP State | | Title: ADA Vehic | cle Equipment | Recipient: | Service Harrisonburg Bus Service | Flexible STP State Local Total Funds: FTA 5307 Flexible STP State | |



| TIP ID: | HAR0018 | Title: Purchase | Radios | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----|
| Flexible STP | - | | - | - | | Flexible STP | - |
| FTA 5307 | - | - | - | 12 | - | FTA 5307 | 12 |
| State | - | | - | 2 | | State | 2 |
| Local | - | | - | 1 | | Local | 1 |
| Year Total: | _ | | | 15 | | Total Funds: | 15 |
| Description: | Purchase fiv | e (5) Radios. Cos | t \$15,000 | | | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0019 | Title: Furniture a | and Fivtures | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| TIF ID. | HAROUTS | Title. Fulfillate a | and Fixtures | Necipierit. | Service | | |
| FTA 5307 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5307 | - |
| Flexible STP | - | - | - | - | - | Flexible STP | - |
| State | - | - | - | - | - | State | - |
| Local | - | | - | - | - | Local | - |
| Year Total: | - | - | - | - | - | Total Funds: | - |
| Description: | | | | | | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0020 | Title: Support Ve | ehicles | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| FTA 5307 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5307 | - |
| Flexible STP | - | - | - | - | - | Flexible STP | - |
| State | - | - | - | - | - | State | - |
| Local | - | - | - | _ | - | Local | _ |
| Year Total: | _ | _ | | - | - | Total Funds: | - |
| Description: | | | | | | | |
| TIP ID: | HAR0021 | Title: Transfer C | enter | Recipient: | Harrisonburg Bus Service | | |
| FTA 5307 | - | - | - | _ | - | FTA 5307 | - |
| Flexible STP | - | - | | - | - | Flexible STP | - |
| State | - | - | - | - | - | State | - |



| 1 | I | I I | | I | 1 | | |
|--------------------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----|
| Local | - | - | - | - | - | Local | - |
| Voor Total | | | | | | Total | |
| Year Total: Description: | - | - | - | - | - | Funds: | - |
| 200 | | | | | ARC of | | |
| | | | | | Harrisonburg/Rockingha | | |
| TIP ID: | ARA0001 | Title: Paratransit | t Vehicles | Recipient: | m | ГΤΛ | |
| FTA 5310 | 58 | 50 | | | | FTA 5310 | 50 |
| State | - | - | | | | State | - |
| Local | 15 | 12 | | | | Local | 12 |
| Year Total: | 73 | 62 | - | - | - | Total Funds: | 62 |
| Description: | | | | | | | |
| TIP ID: | FRI0001 | Title: Paratransit | t Vehicles | Recipient: | Friendship Industries Inc. | | |
| FTA 5310 | 56 | - | | | | FTA 5310 | - |
| State | _ | - | | | | State | • |
| Local | 14 | - | | | | Local | - |
| | | | | | | Total | |
| Year Total: Description: | 70 | - | - | - | - | Funds: | - |
| TIP ID: | PLV0001 | Title: Deretronei | it Vahioloo | Paginiant: | Pleasant View Inc | | |
| TIP ID. | PLVUUUT | Title: Paratransi | it venicies | Recipient: | Pleasant View, Inc. | | |
| FTA 5310 | 88 | 72 | | | | FTA 5310 | 72 |
| State | | | | | | State | - |
| Local | 22 | 18 | | | | Local | 18 |
| Year Total: | 110 | 90 | _ | _ | _ | Total Funds: | 90 |
| Description: | 110 | 30 | | - | <u>-</u> | i unus. | 30 |
| | | | | | Valley Program for Aging | | |
| TIP ID: | VPA0001 | Title: Paratransit | Vehicles | Recipient: | | | |
| FTA 5310 | 52 | | | - | - | FTA 5310 | - |
| | | | _ | _ | - | State | - |
| State | - | | | | | | |
| State Local | 13 | | | - | - | Local | - |
| | | - | - | - | - | Local Total Funds: | - |





112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF RAIL AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF RAIL AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TRANSIT COSTS (in \$1,000)

| Harrisonburg Bus Service | Previous Funding | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Total FY 20 | 24-2027 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|
| FTA 5307 | 4,518 | 47,010 | 4,897 | 4,665 | 4,551 | FTA 5307 | 61,123 |
| FTA 5309 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5309 | - |
| FTA 5310 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5310 | - |
| FTA 5311 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5311 | - |
| FTA 5314 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5314 | - |
| FTA 5337 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5337 | - |
| FTA 5339 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5339 | - |
| FTA ADTAP | - | - | - | - | - | FTA ADTAP | - |
| FTA DPF | - | - | - | - | - | FTA DPF | - |
| FTA TIGER | - | - | - | - | - | FTA TIGER | - |
| FBD | - | - | - | - | - | FBD | - |
| Flexible STP | _ | _ | - | - | - | Flexible STP | - |
| CMAQ | _ | _ | - | - | - | CMAQ | - |
| RSTP | _ | _ | - | - | - | RSTP | - |
| FHWA TAP | <u>-</u> | - | - | - | - | FHWA TAP | - |
| TIFIA | <u>-</u> | - | - | - | - | TIFIA | - |
| Other Federal | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | Other Federal | - |
| State | 5,252 | 10,478 | 2,034 | 2,018 | 2,080 | State | 16,610 |
| Local | 482 | 3,284 | 1,398 | 1,422 | 1,451 | Local | 7,555 |
| Revenues | 2,225 | 2,243 | 2,360 | 2,431 | 2,504 | Revenues | 9,538 |
| Totals | 12,477 | 63,015 | 10,689 | 10,536 | 10,586 | | 94,826 |



| Human Service Transportation | Previous | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Providers | Funding | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Total FY 20 | 24-2027 |
| FTA 5307 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5307 | - |
| FTA 5309 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5309 | - |
| FTA 5310 | 254 | 122 | - | - | - | FTA 5310 | 122 |
| FTA 5311 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5311 | - |
| FTA 5314 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5314 | - |
| FTA 5337 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5337 | - |
| FTA 5339 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5339 | - |
| FTA ADTAP | - | - | - | - | - | FTA ADTAP | - |
| FTA DPF | - | - | - | - | - | FTA DPF | - |
| FTA TIGER | - | - | - | - | - | FTA TIGER | - |
| FBD | - | _ | - | - | - | FBD | - |
| Flexible STP | - | - | - | - | _ | Flexible STP | - |
| CMAQ | - | _ | - | - | - | CMAQ | - |
| RSTP | - | - | - | - | - | RSTP | - |
| FHWA TAP | - | - | - | - | - | FHWA TAP | - |
| TIFIA | - | - | - | - | - | TIFIA | - |
| Other Federal | - | - | - | - | - | Other Federal | - |
| State | - | - | - | - | - | State | - |
| Local | 64 | 30 | - | - | - | Local | 30 |
| Revenues | - | - | - | - | - | Revenues | - |
| Totals | 318 | 152 | - | - | - | | 152 |
| | | | | | | | |



VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF RAIL AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TRANSIT COSTS (in \$1,000)

| TRANSIT COSTS (in \$1,000) | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Harrisonburg MPO | Previous Funding | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | Total FY 20 | 24-2027 |
| FTA 5307 | 4,518 | 47,010 | 4,897 | 4,665 | 4,551 | FTA 5307 | 61,123 |
| FTA 5309 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5309 | - |
| FTA 5310 | 254 | 122 | - | - | - | FTA 5310 | 122 |
| FTA 5311 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5311 | - |
| FTA 5314 | - | - | - | - | - | FTA 5314 | - |
| FTA 5337 | - | - | | | _ | FTA 5337 | - |
| FTA 5339 | - | <u>-</u> | | | _ | FTA 5339 | - |
| FTA ADTAP | - | - | - | - | - | FTA ADTAP | - |
| FTA DPF | - | - | - | - | - | FTA DPF | - |
| FTA TIGER | - | - | - | - | - | FTA TIGER | - |
| FBD | - | - | - | - | - | FBD | - |
| Flexible STP | - | - | - | - | - | Flexible STP | - |
| CMAQ | - | - | - | - | - | CMAQ | - |
| RSTP | - | - | - | - | - | RSTP | - |
| FHWA TAP | - | - | - | - | - | FHWA TAP | - |
| TIFIA | - | - | - | - | - | TIFIA | - |
| Other Federal | - | - | - | - | - | Other Federal | - |
| State | 5,252 | 10,478 | 2,034 | 2,018 | 2,080 | State | 16,610 |
| Local | 546 | 3,314 | 1,398 | 1,422 | 1,451 | Local | 7,585 |
| Revenues | 2,225 | 2,243 | 2,360 | 2,431 | 2,504 | Revenues | 9,538 |
| Totals | 12,795 | 63,167 | 10,689 | 10,536 | 10,586 | | 94,978 |



End of Transit Tip Financial Plan



Appendix A: Highway TIP Amendments and Administrative Modifications

December 7th, 2023 Amendment

Harrisonburg MPO

Primary Projects

| UPC NO |) | 108810 | SCOPE | Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity | | | |
|--------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| SYSTE | M | Primary | JURISDICTION | Rockingham County | | OVERSIGHT | NFO |
| PROJE | СТ | #HB2.FY17 Route 11 | S. Valley Pike Roadv | way Improvements | | ADMIN BY | VDOT |
| DESCR | IPTION | FROM: INT. ROUTE | 704 TO: 0.005 MILES | NORTH INT. ROUTE | 701 (1.2850 MI) | | |
| PROGR | AM NOTE | phase; add \$325,550 release \$43,551 ()AC Other) & \$3,567,173 Debt Service Principa | Id \$105,375 (AC-STP/STBG) FFY24, move \$105,375 (STP/STBG) from FFY24 to (ACC-STP/STBG) FFY25 PE 325,550 (AC-STP/STBG) & release \$325,550 (STP/STBG) FFY24, release \$301,420 (ACC-STP/STBG) FFY25, i51 ()ACC-STP/STBG) FFY26, release \$28,601 (ACC-STP/STBG) FFY27 RW phase; add an addit'l \$2,000,000 (ACC-STP/STBG) FFY24 CN phase. Includes \$2,043,949 GARVEE Debt Service Principal Prev, \$391,615 GARVEE Principal FFY24, \$842,394 GARVEE Debt Service Principal FFY25, \$1,059,340 GARVEE Debt Service Principal \$4,688 GARVEE Debt Service Principal FFY27. Total GARVEE Debt Service Principal \$18,789,084. Corresponding | | | | |
| ROUTE | ROUTE/STREET SOUTH VALLEY PIKE (0011) TOTAL COST \$ | | | | \$25,692,911 | | |
| | FUND SOUR | RCE | MATCH | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 |
| PE | Federal - AC | CONVERSION | \$0 | \$0 | \$105,375 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Federal - ST | P/STBG | \$0 | \$391,616 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| PE TOT | AL | | \$0 | \$391,616 | \$105,375 | \$0 | |
| PE AC | Federal - AC | | | \$105,375 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| RW | Federal - AC | CONVERSION | \$0 | \$0 | \$737,019 | \$1,059,340 | \$1,124,687 |
| RW AC | Federal - AC | | \$0 | \$6,628,098 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| CN | Federal - HS | IP | \$0 | \$3,567,173 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| CN AC | Federal - AC | | \$0 | \$9,620,046 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Federal - AC | OTHER | \$0 | \$3,336,654 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| CN AC | | | \$0 | \$12,956,700 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Federal funds include the use of soft match supported by approved toll credits unless otherwise indicated and/or matching funds are shown in the "Match" column. Non-federal fund sources are as noted in the "Program Note" and shown in the "Match" column, when applicable.



Appendix B: Transit TIP Amendments and Administrative **Modifications**



112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401


Appendix C: Resource Guide

Highway TIP User's Guide

This guide assists the reader in understanding project information for all projects in the Highway TIP. Information for each project appears in the chart format shown below.

Terms used to identify specific programming requirements are presented in the grey boxes, while project-specific details are presented in the white boxes to the right of, or below, each term. Definitions for the numbered terms appear in the corresponding **Glossary of Terms** table.

Figure 1: TIP Programming Table





Closeany of Torms

| Gloss | sary of Terms | |
|-------|--|---|
| ID | Term | Definition |
| 1 | Universal Project Code (UPC) Number | Number assigned to each project at its conception, remaining with the project until completion. |
| 2 | Scope | Details work to be covered by the project |
| 3 | System | Indicates which system, program, or mode of transportation the project falls within. E.g Interstate, Primary, Secondary, Urban, Rail, Transportation Enhancements, or Miscellaneous |
| 4 | Jurisdiction | Identifies the project jurisdiction |
| 5 | Federal Oversight Indicator (FO or NFO) | FO: Indicates Federal Oversight in the project construction, contracting, and management. NFO: Indicates No Federal Oversight in the construction, contracting, and management issues, and does not affect the standard environmental review process for transportation projects. All federally funded transportation projects must include the required environmental documents regardless of whether there is federal oversight required |
| 6 | Project/Project Phase | Name of the Project and Phase (i.e. PE: Preliminary Engineering - Preliminary field survey, utility location, environmental or historical studies, design drawings, final field inspections and public hearings will be done. This process can take several months to years to complete; RW: Right of Way - Negotiations with property owners take place, payments are made, and arrangements with utility companies are finalized to obtain the land necessary for the project; or CN: Construction - Project is advertised to prospective contractors for bids. Once the bids are opened and a contract awarded, construction can begin.) |
| 7 | Admin By | Identifies the entity responsible for the project |
| 8 | Description | Identifies the project's limits |
| 9 | Route/Street | Identifies local Route number or name of road/street |
| 10 | Total Cost | The total estimated cost (TO) reflecting the best overall estimate available at the time. Estimated costs begin as rough estimates, usually based on historical data, and are updated at critical stages (e.g. the final field inspection), as plans are more defined. |
| 11 | Fund Source | Identifies the FHWA or FTA funding source. Additional funding source information is provided in Appendix C |
| 12 | Match | Dollar amount matched to federally funded project. Most federal fund sources require a match of some sort; most often 20% of the total cost. The match is included in the obligations section for informational purposes. The match can come from local, state or other sources |
| 13 | Current and Future Obligations | The amount of funding which is obligated for the indicated phase of work. An obligation represents a commitment from the Federal government to reimburse the state for the Federal share (e.g. 80%) of a project's eligible cost. This commitment occurs when the project is approved and the Federal government executes the project agreement. The funding obligation listed is the dollar amount that a state may spend and expect reimbursement for during each Federal fiscal year. |

112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401



Highway Funding Programs

Accelerated Incentive Deployment (AID):

The AID Demonstration program provides funding as an incentive for eligible entities to accelerate the implementation and adoption of innovation in highway transportation. The AID Demonstration program is one initiative under the multi-faceted Technology and Innovation Deployment Program (TIDP) approach providing funding and other resources to offset the risk of trying an innovation. The new Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) was published on September 1, 2016, continuing the AID Demonstration program under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (Pub. L. No. 114-94).

Bridge Rehabilitation and Replacement/Bridge Off-System Funds Program (BR/BROS):

A former SAFETEA-LU program that provided funding for bridge improvements. Eligibility for funding was based on a rating of bridge condition by VDOT as a candidate for upgrading.

Interstate Maintenance (IM):

A former SAFETEA-LU program that provided funding for resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating and reconstructing (4R) most routes on the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways.

National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)

MAP-21 eliminated the programs with dedicated funding for repair by consolidating the Interstate Maintenance and Highway Bridge Repair programs and shifting these funds to the new NHPP. The new NHPP is now the largest highway program, receiving 58 percent of all highway formula dollars. MAP-21 dramatically expanded the funding for the NHPP program (previously called the National Highway System program) and consolidates the other programs intended for bridge repair and Interstate maintenance.

Only projects located on the National Highway System (NHS) are eligible, which was expanded to include an additional 60,000 new lane miles and bridges. If a state fails to meet minimum Interstate payement condition standards, they must set aside an additional amount of NHPP funds until the standard is met. If the total structurally deficient deck area of NHS bridges exceeds 10 percent of all NHS bridge deck area, then a state must set aside NHPP funds to the Highway Bridge Program until the standard is met. VDOT has consolidated funds into customized pots of money for the State of Good Repair (SGR) program, which seeks to meet the State's interstate and bridge repair needs.

Non-Federal:

Any funding that does not come from federal sources is grouped into the nonfederal funding category.

112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401

Surface Transportation Program (STP):

Under the former SAFETEA-LU and MAP-21 eras, this program provided flexible funding that could be used on any project located on a roadway that is classified higher than a minor collector. Projects that were eligible for funding under this program included construction, reconstruction, and rehabilitation, and bridge projects on any public road. Regional STP funds were designated as RSTP, and Local STP funds were designated as LSTP.



Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG):

The FAST Act converts the long-standing Surface Transportation Program into the Surface Transportation *Block Grant* Program acknowledging that this program has the most flexible eligibilities among all Federal-aid highway programs and aligning the program's name with how FHWA has historically administered it. [FAST Act § 1109(a)]. The STBG promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.

VDOT has consolidated its STBG funds (after set asides for TA and planning/research) and National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) funds into customized pots of money for SMART SCALE, State of Good Repair (SGR), and other programs.

Safe Routes to School Program (SRTS):

This is a competitive grant program to enable and encourage children to safely walk and bicycle to school. Funds can be used for infrastructure improvements and educational programs.

Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP):

These funds are available for bicycle and pedestrian facilities through the Surface Transportation Program of MAP 21. A 10% set aside from each state's allocation of STP funds must be used for Transportation Enhancement activities.

Transit Funding Programs (FTA)

FTA 5307:

This is the FTA Urbanized Area Formula Program, Section 5307 provides funds for public transportation capital investments, and operating expenses in urbanized areas (UZAs). Pursuant to the FAST Act, job access and reverse commute projects that are located in urbanized areas are now eligible for funding under this program.

FTA 5310:

This program is intended to enhance mobility for seniors and persons with disabilities by providing funds for programs to serve the special needs of transit-dependent populations beyond traditional public transportation services and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complementary paratransit services. Under the FAST Act, projects that were formerly eligible for funding under SAFETEA-LU's New Freedom, Section 5317 grant program are now eligible for Section 5310 funds.

FTA 5311:

The FTA 5311 program is a non-urbanized area formula funding program for public transit capital and operating costs in non-urbanized areas with a population fewer than 50,000 as designated by the United States Census Bureau. Job access and reverse commute projects, which are located in non-urbanized areas, are now eligible for these funds under the FAST Act.



37

May 18, 2023

FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

FTA 5339:

This program provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities. Created under the FAST Act, this program replaces components of SAFETEA-LU's former Section 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities program.



Appendix D: Highway Performance Based Planning

Performance Measure Implementation Schedule



Implementation Timeline

| Final Rule | Effective Date | States Set Targets By | MPOs Set Targets By | LRSTP, MTP, STIP and TIP Inclusion |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Safety Performance Measures (PM1) | April 14, 2016 | Aug. 31, 2017 | Up to 180 days after the State sets targets, but not later than Feb. 27, 2018 | Updates or amendments on or after May 27, 2018 |
| Pavement/ Bridge Performance Measures (PM2) | May 20, 2017 | May 20, 2018 | No later than 180 days after the State(s) sets targets | Updates or amendments on or after May 20, 2019 |
| System Performance Measures (PM3) | May 20, 2017 | May 20, 2018 | No later than 180 days after the State(s) sets targets | Updates or amendments on or after May 20, 2019 |



Performance Measures

In accordance with the requirements of MAP-21 and the FAST Act, Virginia has established safety performance objectives as published in Virginia's 2017 – 2021 Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), and starting in 2017, annual targets in the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Annual Report. The SHSP performance measure objectives are indicated in Table 1 below.

Table 1: 2017 - 2021 SHSP Safety Performance Objectives

| | Performance Target | Reduction Per Year |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
|--|--------------------|--------------------|



| 1 | Number of Fatalities | 2% |
|---|---|----|
| 2 | Rate of Fatalities per 100-Million Vehicle Miles Traveled | 3% |
| 3 | Number of Serious Injuries | 5% |
| 4 | Rate Serious Injury Million Vehicle Miles Traveled | 7% |
| 5 | Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities & Non-Motorized Serious Injuries | 1% |

For safety performance measures 1, 2 and 3, annual targets are developed collaboratively by the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) Highway Safety Office (HSO) and VDOT HSIP staff. The DMV HSO includes these measures in their Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) every June.

The Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) approves all five annual targets and VDOT includes these in the HISP Annual Report submitted to FHWA each August. Within 180 days of VDOT's annual report submission to FHWA, MPOs must indicate their support of the state targets or submit their own regional targets for one or more of the safety measures.

Connection to Other Performance Based Planning Documents

The federally required SHSP, a five-year multi-agency comprehensive plan focused on reducing fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads serves as the coordinating document for other plans and programs that involve traffic safety. This coordination involves the long-range statewide transportation plan (LRSTP), the metropolitan transportation plans (MTP), and three plans that implement parts of the SHSP- the Highway Safety Plan (HSP), and the Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP). This integration is important for improving overall safety coordination amongst various partners and leads to more comprehensive transportation safety planning.

The LRSTP, VTrans 2040, guides the state's investment decisions for transportation improvements. Safety and performance management is included in the VTrans 2040 Vision, Goals & Objectives, and Guiding Principles:

- **Guiding Principle 2**: Ensure Safety, Security, and Resiliency Provide a transportation system that is safe for all users, responds immediately to short-term shocks such as weather events or security emergencies, and adapts effectively to long-term stressors such as sea level rise.
- Guiding Principle 5: Ensure Transparency and Accountability and Promote Performance
 Management work openly with partners and engage stakeholders in project development and
 implementation; and establish performance targets that consider the needs of all communities, measure
 progress towards targets, and to adjust programs and policies as necessary to achieve the established
 targets.
- Goal C: Safety of All Users Provide a safe transportation system for passengers and goods on all travel mode.
 - Objectives:




- Reduce the number and rate of motorized fatalities and serious injuries.
- Reduce the number of non-motorized fatalities and injuries.

MTPs are similar to the LRSTP, however an MTP covers a specific metropolitan planning area. MTPs include goas and objectives for their respective areas/regions and identify strategies for advancing long-term transportation investments in a specific region.

The HSIP is an annual plan to address highway user behaviors that will improve safety through education and enforcement campaigns. The HSP and associated NHTSA grant are administered through the DMV's HSO.

Furthermore, each year the Virginia State Police (VSP) submits a Commercial Vehicles Safety Plan (CVSP) to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration as a requirement of obtaining related enforcement grants. The relationship between the various plans and programs is shown below:



Projects in the STIP are directly linked to the safety objectives outlined in the SHSP through the strategies and actions that are priorities in Virginia.



Funding for Safety Projects

Safety targeted improvements are implemented through HSIP projects. Each year Virginia is allocated \$55-Million for HSIP and \$5-Million for Railway Grade Crossing improvements. Virginia is also subject to a Penalty Transfer provision, Section 154 "Open Container", such that 2.5% of NHPP funds are reserved for either NHTSA Alcohol-Impaired Driving or HSIP projects. The State determines what proportion goes to each program. Of the HSIP funds, about 10 percent is set aside for non-motorized safety projects and 20 percent of the remainder for improvements on locally-maintained roadways.

How do Safety Projects get selected for Inclusion in the STIP?

The HSIP project planning and delivery follows these steps:

- Each year highway segment and intersection locations that have the highest potential for safety improvement are identified based on the previous five years of traffic crash and volume data. These above average crash locations are provided to the VDOT Districts to determine appropriate locations and countermeasures for HSIP funding. The potential for vehicle-train crashes at each at-grade railroad crossing is also distributed.
- HSIP project proposals are submitted through the SMART Portal for the appropriate safety program.
- VDOT and locality submitted HSIP proposals are reviewed and prioritized based on the number of targeted
 crashes and the benefit to cost ratio or the potential risk reduction for non-motorized and rail highway grade
 crossing improvements.
- Projects are selected and programmed for the last two or three years of the SYIP. At present there are over \$100 million of safety improvement proposals, with an expected benefit, that remain unfunded.

In recent years, programmed priority HSIP projects have shifted from being higher cost spot intersection and segment improvements to lower cost systemic improvements that target specific crash types and/or roadway characteristics that are factors in crashes across the network. Examples of systemic improvements include traffic signal devices and timing at intersections and curve signing, higher friction surfaces and rumble strips on segments.

Safety improvements are also included within projects funded with non-HSIP funds. The SMART SCALE scoring and prioritization process for inclusion of projects in the SYIP, considers safety benefits from improvements addressing travel of all modes. Many of the large SMART SCALE projects, upon completion, will have distinct impacts on safety performance in the Commonwealth. In addition, projects funded through other state and federal sources in the SYIP will also have crash reduction benefits that contribute to improved safety performance.



42

Thus, the funding to meet Virginia's safety objectives and targets is allocated to projects in the CTB approved SYIP and is consistent with VTrans 2040. Since the SYIP is the foundation for the STIP, the program of projects in the STIP demonstrates support to achieve Virginia's safety performance objectives and target and is consistent with Virginia's SHSP and the HSIP.



112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401

Appendix E: Performance Based Planning and Programming for Transit Asset Management

The two most recent federal transportation laws, MAP-21 and FAST Act, establish performance measure requirements to ensure states and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) are investing transportation funds in projects that collectively will contribute towards the achievement of national goals. The USDOT recently published new rules for states and MPOs to collect data and establish performance targets that will support performance and outcome-based investment decisions.

The new federal performance measurement requirement for transit agencies focuses on one area: transit asset management (TAM). The measures look specifically at the percentage of revenue vehicles that have exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark (ULB), the percentage of non-revenue and service vehicles that have exceeded their ULB, and percentage of facilities with a condition below 3.0 on the Federal Transit Administrator's TERM Scale. All transit agencies receiving grants from the FTA are required to complete a TAM plan. The FTA has established two tiers of agencies based on size parameters.

A Tier I agency operates rail, OR has 101 vehicles or more all fixed route modes, OR has 101 vehicles or more in one non-fixed route mode. A Tier II agency is a subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds, OR is an American Indian Tribe, OR has 100 or less vehicles across all fixed route modes, OR has 100 vehicles or less in one non-fixed route mode.

The first completed TAM plan was submitted to the National Transit Database (NTD) by October 1, 2018. Other required deadlines are found in the table below.

| Reporting Activity | Reporting Deadline |
|---|--------------------|
| Complete compliant TAM Plan | October 2018 |
| Report FY18 asset data to NTD Submit FY19 targets to NTD | October 2018 |
| Report FY19 asset data to NTD Submit FY20 targets to NTD Submit narrative report to NTD | October 2019 |
| Report FY20 asset data to NTD Submit FY21 targets to NTD Submit narrative report to NTD | October 2020 |
| Complete updated TAM Plan | October 2022 |

Transit agency deadlines for TAM Rulemaking for June-July fiscal year



The Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) is the sponsor for the Statewide Tier II Group Plan. For Tier II providers under the DRPT Group Plan, any Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) document or Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) adopted after October 1, 2018 will be in compliance with the TAM Plans developed by DRPT and adopted by the Tier II transit providers within the MPO as well as the regional performance measures adopted by the MPO as a whole.

The HRMPO programs federal transportation funds for the Harrisonburg Department of Public Transportation (HDPT). HDPT is a Tier II agency participating in the DRPT sponsored group TAM Plan. The MPO has integrated the goals measures and targets described in the <u>Federal Fiscal Year 2018 Group Transit Asset Management Plan and 2020 plan Addendum</u> into the MPO's planning and programming process specific targets for the Tier II Group

Table 3: TAM Targets for rolling stock and facilities: Percentage of Revenue Vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB by Asset Type.

| Asset Category - Performance Measure | Asset Class | 2022 Target* |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Revenue Vehicles | | |
| | AB - Articulated Bus | 5% |
| Age - % of revenue vehicles within | BU - Bus | 15% |
| a particular asset class that have | CU - Cutaway | 10% |
| met or exceeded their Useful Life | MB - Minibus | 20% |
| Benchmark (ULB) | BR - Over-the-Road Bus | 15% |
| | VN - Van | 20% |
| Equipment | | |
| Age - % of vehicles that have met | Non-Revenue/Service Automobile | 30% |
| or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark (ULB) | Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles | 30% |
| Facilities | | |
| | Administrative Facility | 10% |
| Condition - % of facilities with a condition rating below 3.0 on the | Maintenance Facility | 10% |
| FTA TERM Scale | Parking Facility | 10% |
| | Passenger Facilities | 15% |



Appendix F: Performance Based Planning and Programming for Pavements and Bridges

Performance Targets

In accordance with the requirements of MAP-21 and the FAST Act, Virginia has established pavement and bridge condition performance targets as reported in Virginia's Baseline Performance Period Report for 2022-2025¹. On September 21, 2022, Virginia's Office of Intermodal Planning and Investment (OIPI) established a new set of four-year statewide targets for condition of bridge decks and pavement and system performance measures, including travel time reliability. These targets were approved on the same day by the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB), and will be for January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2025.

This report, submitted to FHWA in October 2022, satisfies the federal requirement that State DOTs submit a Baseline Performance Period Report to FHWA by October 1st of the first year in a performance period. Performance measures for pavement condition are required for the National Highway System (NHS), while bridge condition requirements relate to structures identified as part of the National Bridge Inventory on the NHS.

The pavement condition measures and established performance targets for the 2022-2025 performance period are indicated in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Pavement Condition Measures and Performance Targets

| | CY 2022-2023 | CY 2022-2025 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Interstate Pavement Condition Measures ² | Two Year Target | Four Year Target |
| Percentage of Pavements in Good Condition | 45.0% | 45.0% |
| Percentage of Pavements in Poor Condition | 3.0% | 3.0% |
| | 2022-2023 | 2022-2025 |
| Non-Interstate NHS Pavement Condition Measures ³ | Two Year Target | Four Year Target |

¹ Virginia's Performance Period Report data is through December 2025.



² Interstate condition measures are based on four distresses: International Roughness Index (IRI), cracking, rutting, and faulting.

³During this first performance period, Federal requirements for Non-Interstate NHS pavement condition and performance targets are based on a single distress, IRI. However, Federal guidance outlined in a September 27, 2018 Memorandum on State DOT Targets for Non-Interstate NHS Pavement Measures allows for the use of full distress data when reporting Non-Interstate NHS performance targets. Given the availability of full distress data, Virginia has chosen this approach and reported performance targets for Non-Interstate NHS pavements based on all four distresses. This allows for consistency in assessing the condition and setting performance targets for both Interstate and Non-Interstate NHS pavements.

| Percentage of Non-Interstate Pavements in Good Condition | 25.0% | 25.0% |
|--|-------|-------|
| Percentage of Non-Interstate Pavements in Poor Condition | 5.0% | 5.0% |



Bridge condition measures and established performance targets for the 2022-2025 performance period are indicated in Table 2 below.

Table 2: NHS Bridge Condition Measures and Performance Targets

| NHS Bridge Condition Measures | CY 2022-2023 Two Year Target | CY 2022-2025 Four Year Target |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Percentage of Deck Area of NBI Bridges on the NHS in Good Condition | 8 | 25.1% |
| Percentage of Deck Area of NBI Bridges on the NHS in Poor Condition | 3.3% | 3.6% |

Background/History

Virginia's history of monitoring asset conditions and utilizing performance information to determine investment strategies based on available funding levels spans over 10 years for pavements and bridges.

VDOT maintains a comprehensive inventory of all pavement and bridges on the state-maintained network. This inventory, which includes location, maintenance responsibility, ownership, and current condition or inspection information, serves as the foundation for life cycle planning, performance forecasting, maintenance and rehabilitation needs estimation, as well as prioritization of work to maximize asset life given available funding. Condition information is also important for communicating with external stakeholders, including the general public.

VDOT's commitment to responsible Transportation Asset Management (TAM) practice is demonstrated through VDOT's annual condition data collection programs and its establishment and publication of network level pavement and bridge performance goals. VDOT's current condition measures and performance goals have been in place for many years and are fully integrated into VDOT's budgeting process and investment strategies. The federal pavement and bridge performance measures apply to a limited portion of the network for which VDOT is responsible (less than 15% of all lane miles and 18% of the bridge inventory).

Connection to Other Performance Based Planning Documents

VTrans, the state's long-range multimodal plan, provides the overarching vision and goals for transportation in the Commonwealth. The long-range plan provides a vision for Virginia's future transportation system and defines goals, objectives, and guiding principles to achieve the vision. It also provides direction to state and regional transportation agencies on strategies and policies to be incorporated into their plans and programs. The most recent approved long-range multimodal plan is VTrans2040.



Performance management, specifically as it relates to pavements and bridges, is included in the VTrans2045Vision, Goals & Objectives, and Guiding Principles as noted below:

- Guiding Principle 5: Ensure Transparency and Accountability, and Promote Performance
 Management Work openly with partners and engage stakeholders in project development and
 implementation, and establish performance targets that consider the needs of all communities,
 measure progress towards targets, and to adjust programs and policies as necessary to achieve the
 established targets.
- Goal D: Proactive System Management maintain the transportation system in good condition and leverage technology to optimize existing and new infrastructure.
 - o Objectives:
 - Improve the condition of all bridges based on deck area.
 - Increase the lane miles of pavement in good or fair condition.

Virginia's federally required Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP) presents pavement and bridge inventory and conditions, along with the Commonwealth's performance objectives, measures, and associated risks as they relate to the federal requirements. Asset funding, investment strategies, forecasts, goals, and gaps are also included. The TAMP is specific to the NHS and provides the Commonwealth's Transportation Asset Management (TAM) processes and methodology to meet federal requirements. Pavement and bridge projects included in the STIP are consistent with Virginia's reported TAM processes and methodology. The program of projects in the STIP are directly linked to the pavement and bridge objectives outlined in VTrans2040 and the TAMP through the strategies and actions that are priorities in Virginia.

Funding for Pavement and Bridge Projects

There are two key funding sources for pavement and bridge projects, the Highway Maintenance and Operations Fund (HMOF) and State of Good Repair (SGR) program funds. The pavement and bridge funding is used for differing projects from routine maintenance to reconstructive work. Funds are allocated to pavement and bridge projects based on an annual needs assessment process supported by a data-driven prioritization and selection process. The prioritization process is the same for the various funding sources; however, the State of Good Repair program funds are designated for deteriorated pavements and structurally deficient bridges.

The SGR program requires funds be distributed proportionality between VDOT and localities, based on assessed needs. More details, including the requirements for pavements and bridges, and the SGR prioritization process methodology, can be found at: <u>State of Good Repair for Bridges</u> and <u>Local Assistance Funding Programs</u>.



VDOT has developed a robust asset management program, placing maintenance of the transportation network at the forefront of VDOT's investment decisions. This commitment to responsible asset management practice is demonstrated through VDOT's annual collection of condition data on pavements and bridges along with its establishment and publication of network-level pavement and bridge performance targets. For more than a decade, VDOT has monitored pavement and bridge conditions using performance information (measures and targets) to determine investment strategies based on available funding levels.

In the annual needs assessment process, VDOT assesses 100% of the pavement network on Virginia's Interstate and Primary systems and approximately 20% of the Secondary system. In 2016, VDOT assessed 100% of the Secondary pavement network to create a condition baseline. The pavement condition data is compiled, analyzed and reviewed to report the optimized needs at a roadway system and district level. VDOT's pavement program selects resurfacing projects, in relation to needs, and optimizes the timing of projects through a data-driven pavement management system.

For bridges, VDOT follows national standards in performing safety inspections and determining general condition of the structures. Condition assessments are performed by certified safety inspection personnel. The inspection program requires a qualified inspector to complete a "hands-on" review of the structure or bridge during each inspection. By federal regulation, VDOT is required to conduct detailed inspections of NBI structures at intervals not to exceed 24 months. VDOT uses BrM software to store bridge condition and inventory data for each structure and to program, schedule, and track bridge and structure inspections. The data collected during inspections allows VDOT to use a proactive approach to maintenance. Preventive maintenance and timely intervention repairs are performed to avoid and slow deterioration that leads to greater rehabilitation or replacement cost. Virginia's bridge maintenance program is large and complex, so in order to direct its efforts more easily, performance targets have been developed.

VDOT uses a prioritization process when determining funding for the pavement and bridge programs and prioritizes work ranging from preventative maintenance to replacement. The prioritization processes take into account similar factors such as condition, cost effectiveness, maintenance history, and traffic volumes. While the systematic prioritization processes are a guide to assist in funding projects, districts direct the work performed as the local experts.

How do Pavement and Bridge Projects get selected for Inclusion in the STIP?

As noted above, the funding to meet Virginia's pavement and bridge objectives and targets is allocated to projects in the CTB-approved SYIP and is consistent with VTrans2040. Each spring, the public is invited to comment on projects included in the draft SYIP prior to CTB approval. Since the SYIP is the foundation for



the STIP, the program of projects in the STIP demonstrates support to achieve Virginia's pavement and bridge performance objectives and targets and is consistent with Virginia's TAMP.

Appendix G Performance Based Planning and Programming – Highway System Performance

Performance Targets

In accordance with the requirements of MAP-21 and the FAST Act, Virginia has established performance targets for three reliability performance measures to assess the Highway System Performance. All three measures are included in Virginia's Baseline Performance Period Report for 2018-2021 which was submitted to FHWA in October 2018. This report satisfies the federal requirement that State DOTs to establish new targets every four years, and to submit a Performance Period Report to FHWA by October 1st of the first year in the performance period.

On September 21, 2022, Virginia's Office of Intermodal Planning and Investment (OIPI) established a new set of four-year statewide targets for condition of bridge decks and pavement and system performance measures, including travel time reliability. These targets were approved on the same day by the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB), and will be set for January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2025.

Performance of the NHS is measured by the level of travel time reliability. The travel time reliability performance measures and performance targets for the 2022-2025 performance period are indicated in Table 1 below.

Table 1: National Highway System Travel Time Reliability Performance Measures and Targets

| | CY 2022-2023 | CY 2022-2025 |
|--|-----------------|------------------|
| NHS Travel Time Reliability Performance | Two Year Target | Four Year Target |
| Percent of Person Miles Traveled on the Interstate That Are Reliable | 85.0% | 85.0% |
| Percent of Person Miles Traveled on the Non-Interstate NHS That Are Reliable | 88.0% | 88.0% |

The assessment for freight reliability is based on the truck travel time reliability index. The truck travel time reliability performance measure and performance targets for the 2022-2025 performance period are indicated in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Freight Reliability Performance Measure and Targets

| | CY 2022-2023 | CY 2022-2025 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Truck Travel Time Reliability Performance | Two Year Target | Four Year Target |
| Truck Travel Time Reliability Index | 1.64 | 1.64 |



The Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) approves the performance measures and targets developed for Virginia's surface transportation network. Such targets, including those for Highway System Performance, are linked to the goals and objectives in Virginia's long-range transportation plan, or VTrans.

Connection to Other Performance Based Planning Documents

VTrans, the state's long-range multimodal plan, provides the overarching vision and goals for transportation in the Commonwealth. The long-range plan provides a vision for Virginia's future transportation system and defines goals, objectives, and guiding principles to achieve the vision. It also provides direction to state and regional transportation agencies on strategies and policies to be incorporated into their plans and programs. The most recent approved long range multimodal plan is VTrans2040.

VTrans2040 identifies the most critical transportation needs in Virginia to ensure the overarching transportation goals in the long-range plan are achieved. The screening process was informed by a data-driven approach that considers highway system performance measures and targets in addition to other performance indicators.

Performance management, as it relates to the reliability of the NHS and freight, is included in the VTrans2045Vision, Goals & Objectives, and Guiding Principles as noted below:

- Guiding Principle 4: Consider Operational Improvements and Demand Management First Maximize capacity of the transportation network through increased use of technology and operational improvements as well as managing demand for the system before investing in major capacity expansions.
- Goal A Economic Competitiveness and Prosperity: invest in a transportation system that supports a robust, diverse, and competitive economy.
 - o Objectives:
 - Reduce the amount of travel that takes place in severe congestion.
 - Reduce the number and severity of freight bottlenecks.
 - Improve reliability on key corridors for all modes.
- Goal B Accessible and Connected Places: increase the opportunities for people and businesses to efficiently access jobs, services, activity centers, and distribution hubs.
 - o Objectives:
 - Reduce average peak-period travel times in metropolitan areas.
 - Reduce average daily trip lengths in metropolitan areas.
 - Increase the accessibility to jobs via transit, walking and driving in metropolitan areas.



Additionally, the Virginia Freight Element (VFE), a component of VTrans2040, discusses freight system trends, needs, and issues. The VFE also includes freight policies, strategies, and performance measures that guide Virginia's freight-related investment decisions.

Projects included in the STIP are directly linked to the Highway System Performance objectives outlined in VTrans2040 and associated needs analysis, and the VFE through the strategies and actions that are priorities in Virginia.

Funding for Highway System Performance Projects

SMART SCALE, Virginia's data-driven prioritization process for funding transportation projects, considers the potential of a project to improve reliability. In order to be considered for SMART SCALE, a project must first meet a need identified in VTrans2040, thus strengthening the connection between the planning and programming processes. Congestion mitigation, safety, accessibility, economic development, environment, and land use are the factors used to score SMART SCALE projects. Freight considerations are included in the economic development factor.

The FAST Act established a National Highway Freight Program, including a freight-specific funding program to highlight the focus on freight transportation needs. Projects eligible for National Highway Freight Program (NHFP) funding must contribute to the efficient movement of freight on the National Highway Freight Network (NHFN) and be included in the VFE. VDOT uses NHFP funding to construct freight beneficial projects identified through the SMART SCALE process.

SMART SCALE screening and scoring results, along with public feedback and CTB guidance, are used to develop the SYIP.

Other projects selected for funding are subject to program specific prioritization processes approved by the CTB. All funding (federal, state, and other sources) for transportation projects are allocated to projects in the CTB approved SYIP.

How do Highway System Performance Projects Get Selected for Inclusion in the STIP?

As noted above, the funding for all transportation projects, including funding for projects to meet Virginia's NHS system performance and freight movement targets is allocated to projects in the CTB approved SYIP, and is consistent with VTrans2040 and the VFE. Since the SYIP is the foundation of the STIP, the program of projects in the STIP demonstrates support to achieve Virginia's NHS and Freight Reliability performance objectives and targets.




Appendix H: Public Transportation Safety Plan for MPOs (PTASP)

The Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) final rule (49 C.F.R. Part 673) intends to improve public transportation safety by guiding transit agencies to more effectively and proactively manage safety risks in their systems. It requires certain recipients and sub-recipients of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grants that operate public transportation to develop and implement safety plans that, establish processes and procedures to support the implementation of Safety Management Systems (SMS).

The rule applies to all operators of public transportation systems that are recipients and sub-recipients of FTA grant funds. Specifically, recipients or sub-recipients who operate public transportation and are a recipient or sub-recipient of Urbanized Area Formula Grant Program funds under 49 U.S.C. § 5307 (Section 5307 Grant Program) see attachment A for a list of Transit agencies.

DRPTs Role: DRPT has drafted a PTASP on behalf of small tier II transportation providers. Under the PTASP rule a small tier II transportation provider is defined as meeting all of the following criteria:

- Is a recipient or subrecipient of FTA's Urbanized Area Formula Program,
- Operates 100 or fewer vehicles in peak revenue service, and
- Does not operate rail/fixed-guideway public transportation





112 MacTanly Place

Staunton, VA 24401

Performance-Based Planning

As part of PTASP requirements, transit agencies must set safety performance targets in their safety plans for each mode (Fixed route and paratransit) based on the following safety performance measures that FTA has established in the National Public Transportation Safety Plan (NSP):

| Measure | Target Type | | Desired Direction |
|--------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Fatalities | Total number | Rate per revenue miles | Decreasing number and |
| ratantics | Total number | Rate per revenue nines | rate |
| Injuries | Total number | Rate per revenue miles | Decreasing number and |
| injuries | Total number | Rate per revenue nines | rate |
| Safety events | Total number per year | Rate per revenue miles | Decreasing number and |
| Safety events | Safety events Total number per year Rate per revenue nines | | rate |
| System reliability | Distance between major | Distance between minor | Decreasing number and |
| | failures | failures | rate |

MPOs must reference performance targets and plans within the MPO transportation improvement program (TIP) and long-range plan. The Safety performance targets and performance-based plans should inform a transit agency's investment priorities, and those investment priorities should be carried forward within the MPO's and State DOT's planning processes. MPOs should also make reference to the PTASP plan in their TIP. MPO Role

The PTASP rule states that each transit provider must provide the MPO with safety performance targets to assist the MPO with capital program planning process (Long Range Transportation plan and TIP). The MPO will need to incorporate the performance targets and safety plan(s) (by reference) into the TIP and LRTP. Additional resources on the MPOs role in PTAS is available from the FTA's MPO FAO page.

For MPOs with tier II transit agencies(s) participating in the group plan, DRPT is providing the agency specific targets developed for the Statewide Tier II group PTASP plan to the MPOs for consideration and inclusion in MPO TIPs. (Attachment A) MPOs may consider adopting the targets provided in the group plan or adopting regionally specific targets of their own. For additional guidance please refer to <u>FTA's Safety performance Targets Guide.</u> In many cases MPOs can add the targets to the TIP via an administrative update instead of an amendment.⁴

After the initial round in 2021 MPOs will have to update the PTASP performance targets when LRTP or TIP are updated or a transit agency make changes to their targets during an annual PTASP plan review. Transit agencies are required to review their PTASP annually by July 20th.



Phone (540) 885-5174 **Fax** (540) 885-2687

⁴ MPOs should follow their procedures as defined in their Public Participation Plans

The Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) final rule (49 C.F.R. Part 673) intends to improve public transportation safety by guiding transit agencies to more effectively and proactively manage safety risks in their systems. It requires certain recipients and sub-recipients of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grants that operate public transportation to develop and implement safety plans that, establish processes and procedures to support the implementation of Safety Management Systems (SMS). Agencies are required to fulfill this requirement through an individual or group plan. The PTASP rule provides two tiers of requirements for transit agencies based on size and operating characteristics:

- A Tier I agency operates rail, OR has 101 vehicles or more all fixed route modes, OR has 101 vehicles or more in one non-fixed route mode.
- A Tier II agency is a subrecipient of FTA 5311 funds, OR is an American Indian Tribe, OR has 100 or less vehicles across all fixed route modes, OR has 100 vehicles or less in one non-fixed route mode.

Tier II Group Plan

The Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) is the sponsor for the Statewide Tier II Group PTASP Plan. The HRMPO programs federal transportation funds for HDPT. HDPT is a Tier II agency participating in the DRPT sponsored group PTASP Plan. The HRMPO has adopted the <u>Tier II PTASP</u> into its TIP by reference and integrated the goals measures and targets described in the 2020 Commonwealth of Virginia Tier II Group Transit Asset Management Plan, August 11, 2020 into the MPO's planning and programming process. Specific targets for the Tier II Group PTASP Plan are included in the table below.



Table 1: Tier II Transit Agency PTASP Performance Targets by Mode:

| | Targets by Mode | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Performance Measures | Fixed Route | Paratransit/ Demand Response | |
| Fatalities (total number | 0 | 0 | |
| of reportable fatalities | | | |
| per year) | | | |
| Fatalities (rate per total | 0 | 0 | |
| vehicle revenue miles by | | | |
| mode) | | | |
| Injuries (total number of | 3 | 1 | |
| reportable injuries per | | | |
| year) | | | |
| Injuries (rate per total | Less than .5 injuries per 100,000 | Less than .5 injuries per 100,000 | |
| vehicle revenue miles by | vehicle revenue miles | vehicle revenue miles | |
| mode) | | | |
| Safety events (total | 7 | 2 | |
| number of safety events | | | |
| per year) | | | |
| Safety events (rate per | Less than 1 reportable event per | Less than 1 reportable event per | |
| total vehicle revenue | 100,000 vehicle revenue miles | 100,000 vehicle revenue miles | |
| miles by mode) | | | |
| Distance between Major | 10,000 miles | 10,000 miles | |
| Failures | | | |
| Distance between Minor | 3,200 miles | 3,200 miles | |
| Failures | | | |

Information and Resources

Additional information and guidance is available on FTAs Public Transportation Safety Plan webpage: https://www.transit.dot.gov/PTASP

PTASP final rule factsheet:

 $\frac{https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/regulations-and-guidance/safety/public-transportation-agency-safety-program/117281/ptasp-fact-sheet-o2-o6-2019.pdf$

DRPT Contact:



Grace Stankus, Statewide transit planner grace.stankus@drpt.virginia.gov 804-971-8158

